## CHAPTER 11 ACCOUNTING FOR PARTNERSHIPS

#### **EYE OPENERS**

- 1. Proprietorship: Ease of formation and nontaxable entity.
  - Partnership: Expanded owner expertise and capital, nontaxable entity, and ease of formation.
- Yes. A partnership may incur losses in excess of the total investment of all partners. The division of losses among the partners would be made according to their agreement. In addition, because of the unlimited liability of each partner for partnership debts, a particular partner may actually lose a greater amount than his or her capital balance.
- 3. The partnership agreement establishes the income-sharing ratio among the partners, amounts to be invested, and buy-sell agreements between the partners.
- 4. Equally.
- 5. No. Maholic would have to bear his share of losses. In the absence of any agreement as to division of net income or net loss, his share would be one-third. In addition, because of the unlimited liability of each partner, Maholic may lose more than one-third of the losses if one partner is unable to absorb his or her share of the losses.
- **6.** The delivery equipment should be recorded at \$10,000, the valuation agreed upon by the partners.
- 7. The accounts receivable should be recorded by a debit of \$150,000 to Accounts Receivable and a credit of \$15,000 to Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.
- 8. Yes. Partnership net income is divided according to the income-sharing ratio, regardless of the amount of the withdrawals by the partners. Therefore, it is very likely that the partners' monthly withdrawals from a partnership will not exactly equal their shares of net income.
- **9. a.** Debit the partner's withdrawal account and credit Cash.
  - b. No. Payments to partners and the division of net income are separate. The amount of cash paid out to partner C will be affected by the amount of C's withdrawal, but the division of income will not be affected.
  - **c.** Debit the income summary account for the amount of the net income and credit the

- partners' capital accounts for their respective shares of the net income.
- 10. a. By purchase of an interest, the capital interest of the new partner is obtained from the old partner, and neither the total assets nor the total equity of the partnership is affected.
  - **b.** By investment, both the total assets and the total equity of the partnership are increased.
- 11. It is important to state all partnership assets in terms of current prices at the time of the admission of a new partner because failure to do so might result in participation by the new partner in gains or losses attributable to the period prior to admission to the partnership. To illustrate, assume that A and B share net income and net loss equally and operate a partnership that owns land recorded at and costing \$20,000. C is admitted to the partnership, and the three partners share in income equally. The day after C is admitted to the partnership. the land is sold for \$35,000 and, since the land was not revalued, C receives one-third distribution of the \$15,000 gain. In this case, C participates in the gain attributable to the period prior to admission to the partnership.
- **12.** A new partner who is expected to improve the fortunes (income) of the partnership, through such things as reputation or skill, might be given equity in excess of the amount invested to join the partnership.
- **13. a.** Losses and gains on sale of assets are divided among partners in the incomesharing ratio.
  - b. Distribution of cash is determined by the credit balances in the partners' capital accounts, after taking into consideration the potential deficiencies that may result from the inability to collect from a deficient partner.

## **PRACTICE EXERCISES**

## PE 11-1

Cash	24,000	
Inventory	56,000	
Land	114,000	
Notes Payable	·	50,000
Josh Beach, Capital		144,000
Cash	50,000	
Inventory	94,000	
Craig Fox, Capital	,	144,000

#### PE 11-2

#### a. Distribution:

	<u>McDonald</u>	Ward	<u>Total</u>
Annual salaryRemaining income	\$ 60,000 25,000	\$ 50,000 25,000	\$110,000 50,000
Total distributed	<u>\$85,000</u>	\$ 75,000	\$160,000
b. (1) Income Summary			85,000 75,000
(2) Jane McDonald, Capital Dave Ward, Capital Jane McDonald, Withdrawals Dave Ward, Withdrawals		48,000 48,000	48,000 48,000

## PE 11-3

	<u>Smithson</u>	Mooney	Total
Annual salary	<b>\$</b> —	\$ 53,000	\$ 53,000
Interest	2,500 <sup>1</sup>	$7,500^{2}$	10,000
Remaining income	132,750	44,250 <sup>3</sup>	177,000
Total distributed	<b>\$135,250</b>	<b>\$104,750</b>	\$240,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>\$50,000 × 5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>\$150,000 × 5%

 $<sup>^{3}($240,000 - $53,000 - $10,000) \</sup>times 25\%$ 

## PE 11-4

Annual salary	\$ — 2,500 <sup>1</sup> 2,500 (1,500) \$ 1,000	Mooney \$ 53,000	Total \$ 53,000
Income SummaryBrandon Smithson, CapitalLakendra Mooney, Capital		61,000	1,000 60,000
PE 11-5			
Annual salary	Smithson  \$ —	Mooney \$ 53,000	Total \$ 53,000
<sup>3</sup> (\$-10,000 – \$53,000 – \$10,000) × 25%  Brandon Smithson, Capital  Lakendra Mooney, Capital  Income Summary		52,250	42,250 10,000
PE 11-6			
a. Equipment Jordon Garmon, Capital Kali Miller, Capital		12,000	8,000 4,000
b. Cash Brandon Tarr, Capital		64,000	64,000

#### PE 11-7

Baker contribution Total equity after admitting Baker Baker's equity interest Baker's equity after admission Baker's contribution Bonus paid to Baker	<u>}</u> <u>}</u>	25,000 90,000 × 30% \$ 27,000 25,000 \$ 2,000
PE 11–8	-	· · · · ·
Jackie Landall	89,400	85,000 2,200* 2,200
PE 11–9		
Penn's equity prior to liquidation	\$250,000	\$160,000

Equity of Maples .....

Book value of assets (\$160,000 + \$100,000 + \$15,000).....

Loss on liquidation.....

Penn's share of loss (50% × \$25,000) .....

Penn's cash distribution.....

#### PE 11-10

a.	Min's equity prior to liquidation		\$ 120,000
	Sale of assets	\$ 60,000	
	Book value of assets	320,000*	
	Loss on liquidation	\$260,000	
	Min's share of loss (50% × \$260,000)		(130,000)
	Min's deficiency		\$ (10,000)
	*\$120,000 + \$200,000		

b. \$60,000. \$200,000 - \$130,000 share of loss - \$10,000 Min deficiency, also equals the amount realized from asset sales.

\$ 65,000

275,000

\$ 25,000

(12,500)

## **EXERCISES**

Cash	13,000	
Accounts Receivable	130,000	
Inventory	84,700	
Equipment	69,500	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	7-7-	10,200
Gwen Delk, Capital		287,000
Cash	130,000	
Accounts Receivable	76,500	
Inventory	33,000	
Equipment	52,500	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	,	5,000
Alliesha Johnson, Capital		287,000
Ex. 11–2		
Cash	40,000	
Accounts Receivable	75,000	
Land	250,000	
Equipment	21,000	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		6,000
Accounts Payable		22,500
Notes Payable		65,000
Brandi Bonds, Capital		292,500

a. b. c. d. e.			Haskett \$160,000 240,000 144,800 150,000 162,000	Humphrys \$160,000 80,000 175,200 170,000 158,000
	<u>Detail</u>	<u>s</u>		
		<u>Haskett</u>	<u>Humphrys</u>	<u>Total</u>
a.	Net income (1:1)	<u>\$160,000</u>	<u>\$160,000</u>	<u>\$320,000</u>
b.	Net income (3:1)	<u>\$240,000</u>	<u>\$ 80,000</u>	<u>\$320,000</u>
C.	Interest allowance Remaining income (2:3) Net income	\$ 36,000 108,800 \$144,800	\$ 12,000 <u>163,200</u> <u>\$175,200</u>	\$ 48,000 <u>272,000</u> <u>\$320,000</u>
d.	Salary allowanceRemaining income (1:1) Net income	\$ 50,000 100,000 <u>\$150,000</u>	\$ 70,000 100,000 <u>\$170,000</u>	\$120,000 <u>200,000</u> <u>\$320,000</u>
e.	Interest allowance Salary allowance Remaining income (1:1) Net income	\$ 36,000 50,000 <u>76,000</u> <u>\$162,000</u>	\$ 12,000 70,000 <u>76,000</u> <u>\$158,000</u>	\$ 48,000 120,000 <u>152,000</u> <u>\$320,000</u>

a. b. c. d. e.			Haskett \$240,000 360,000 208,800 230,000 242,000	Humphrys \$240,000 120,000 271,200 250,000 238,000
	<u>Details</u>			
		<u>Haskett</u>	<b>Humphrys</b>	<u>Total</u>
a.	Net income (1:1)	<u>\$240,000</u>	<u>\$240,000</u>	<u>\$480,000</u>
b.	Net income (3:1)	<u>\$360,000</u>	<u>\$120,000</u>	<u>\$480,000</u>
C.	Interest allowance Remaining income (2:3) Net income	\$ 36,000 <u>172,800</u> <u>\$208,800</u>	\$ 12,000 259,200 \$271,200	\$ 48,000 432,000 \$480,000
d.	Salary allowance Remaining income (1:1) Net income	\$ 50,000 <u>180,000</u> <u>\$230,000</u>	\$ 70,000 <u>180,000</u> <u>\$250,000</u>	\$120,000 <u>360,000</u> <u>\$480,000</u>
e.	Interest allowance Salary allowance Remaining income (1:1) Net income	\$ 36,000 50,000 <u>156,000</u> <u>\$242,000</u>	\$ 12,000 70,000 <u>156,000</u> <u>\$238,000</u>	\$ 48,000 120,000 312,000 \$480,000

			<u>Haskett</u>	<u>Humphrys</u>
a.			\$55,000	\$55,000
b.			82,500	27,500
C.			60,800	49,200
d.			45,000	65,000
e.			57,000	53,000
	<u>Details</u>			
		<u>Haskett</u>	<u>Humphrys</u>	<u>Total</u>
a.	Net income (1:1)	<u>\$55,000</u>	<u>\$55,000</u>	<u>\$110,000</u>
b.	Net income (3:1)	<u>\$82,500</u>	<u>\$ 27,500</u>	<u>\$110,000</u>
c.	Interest allowance	\$ 36,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 48,000
	Remaining income (2:3)	<u>24,800</u>	<u>37,200</u>	62,000
	Net income	<u>\$60,800</u>	<u>\$49,200</u>	<u>\$110,000</u>
d.	Salary allowance	•	\$ 70,000	\$120,000
	Remaining income (1:1)	<u>(5,000)</u>	<u>(5,000)</u>	<u>(10,000)</u>
	Net income	<u>\$45,000</u>	<u>\$65,000</u>	<u>\$110,000</u>
e.	Interest allowance	\$ 36,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 48,000
	Salary allowance		70,000	120,000
	Remaining income (1:1)	(29,000)		<u>(58,000)</u>
	Net income	<u>\$57,000</u>	<u>\$53,000</u>	<u>110,000</u>
_	44.0			
EX	x. 11–6			
		Casey	Logan	
		<u>Fisher</u>	<u>Baylor</u>	<u>Total</u>
	lary allowances	\$40,000	\$ 35,000	\$75,000
Re	mainder (net loss, \$20,000 plus \$75,000			
	salary allowances) divided equally	<u>(47,500</u> )	(47, <u>500</u> )	<u>(95,000</u> )
Ne	t loss	<u>\$ (7,500</u> )	<u>\$ (12,500</u> )	<u>\$(20,000</u> )

Ex. 11-7

a.

		McGillivray	Gillis	Newton	Total
Interest allowa Remaining inc	ce nce ome (3:2:1)	\$ 1,080 <sup>1</sup> -4,500 <u>\$-3,420</u>	920 <sup>2</sup> -3,000 <u>\$-2,080</u>	\$40,000 600 <sup>3</sup> -1,500 \$39,100	\$40,000 2,600 -9,000 <u>\$33,600</u>
• •	+ \$12,000 + \$5,000 + \$13,000 + \$5,000 + \$5,000)	•			
b.					
Dec. 31, 2015	Income Summar McGillivray, Capi Gillis, Capital Newton, Ca	ital		3,420 2,080	39,100
Dec. 31, 2015	Newton, Capital. Newton,	 , Withdrawals		•	25,000

#### Ex. 11-8

a.

Net income: \$188,000

	<u>Bowman</u>	<u>Mapes</u>	<u>Total</u>
Salary allowance	\$ 75,000	\$60,000	\$135,000
Remaining income	<u>31,800</u>	21,200	53,000
Net income	<u>\$106,800</u>	<u>\$81,200</u>	<u>\$188,000</u>

Bowman remaining income:  $($188,000 - $135,000) \times 3/5$  Mapes remaining income:  $($188,000 - $135,000) \times 2/5$ 

#### Ex. 11-8 Concluded

b. (1)

Income SummaryB. Bowman, CapitalS. Mapes, Capital	188,000	106,800 81,200
(2)		
B. Bowman, Capital S. Mapes, Capital	75,000 60,000	
B. Bowman, WithdrawalsS. Mapes, Withdrawals	·	75,000 60,000

*Note:* The reduction in partners' equity from withdrawals would be disclosed on the statement of partners' equity but does not affect the allocation of net income in part (a) of this exercise.

Ex. 11-9

a.

Net income: \$100,000

	<u>Bowman</u>	<u>Mapes</u>	<u>Total</u>
Salary allowance	\$ 75,000	\$60,000	\$135,000
Remaining income	(21,000)	<u>(14,000)</u>	(35,000)
Net income	<u>\$54,000</u>	<u>\$46,000</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>

Bowman remaining income:  $(\$100,000 - \$135,000) \times 3/5$  Mapes remaining income:  $(\$100,000 - \$135,000) \times 2/5$ 

## Ex. 11-9 Concluded

b.

(1)

Income SummaryB. Bowman, CapitalS. Mapes, Capital	100,000	54,000 46,000
(2)		
B. Bowman, Capital	75,000	
S. Mapes, Capital	60,000	
B. Bowman, Withdrawals		75,000
S. Mapes, Withdrawals		60,000

## Ex 11-10

a.

		Sheila Frances	Lindsey Wilson	Maureen Culver	Total
Interest allowa Remaining inco	ce nce ome (4:3:3)	\$ 24,000 <sup>1</sup> 196,000 \$220,000	\$115,600 6,000 <sup>2</sup> <u>147,000</u> <u>\$268,600</u>	\$ 14,400 <sup>3</sup> _147,000 <u>\$161,400</u>	\$115,600 44,400 <u>490,000</u> <u>\$650,000</u>
<sup>1</sup> 12% × \$200,00 <sup>2</sup> 12% × \$50,000 <sup>3</sup> 12% × \$120,00					
b.					
Dec. 31, 2015	Income Summary Sheila Franc Lindsey Wils Maureen Cul	es, Capital son, Capital		 	220,000 268,600 161,400
Dec. 31, 2015	Lindsey Wil	Capital Capital ces, Withdrav son, Withdra		121,600 14,400 	24,000 121,600 14,400

#### Ex. 11-10 Concluded

C.

### **INTERMEDIA LLP** Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Sheila <u>Frances</u>	Lindsey Wilson	Maureen <u>Culver</u>	Total
Partners' equity, January 1, 2015	\$200,000	\$ 50,000	\$120,000	\$ 370,000
Additional investment during the year	<u>50,000</u>			50,000
	250,000	50,000	120,000	420,000
Net income for the year	220,000	268,600	161,400	650,000
	470,000	318,600	281,400	1,070,000
Withdrawals during the year	24,000	121,600	14,400	<u>160,000</u>
Partners' equity, December 31, 2015	\$446,000	\$197,000	\$267,000	\$ 910,000
Ex. 11–11				
LX. 11-11				
a. and b.				
Lia Wu, Capital			50,000	
Kara Oliver, Capital			•	50,000

Note: The sale to Oliver is not a transaction of the partnership; so, the sales price is not considered in this journal entry.

#### Ex. 11-12

 $150,000 \times 1/3$ 

a.	Cash  Diana de Courcey, Capital  Leah Kalleen, Capital  Gary Daniel, Capital  (\$62,500 + \$150,000 + \$80,000) ÷ 3 = \$97,500  \$97,500 - \$80,000 = \$17,500 bonus to Gary	80,000 8,750 8,750	97,500
b.	Cash  Diana de Courcey, Capital  Leah Kalleen, Capital  Gary Daniel, Capital  (\$62,500 + \$150,000 + \$120,500) ÷ 3 = \$111,000  \$111,000 - \$120,500 = \$9,500 bonus to existing partners	120,500	4,750 4,750 111,000

a.	(1) Barbara Shaw, Capital (20% × \$120,000) Jane O'Halloran, Capital (25% × \$100,000) Juan Rohon, Capital	25,000	49,000
	(2) Cash Marco Galen, Capital		50,000
b.	Barbara Shaw (\$120,000 – \$24,000) Jane O'Halloran (\$100,000 – \$25,000) Juan Rohon Marco Galen	96,000 75,000 49,000 50,000	
Ex	x. 11–14		
a.	Cash Travis Harris, Capital Keelyn Kidd, Capital Felix Flores, Capital	7,500 7,500	60,000
b.	Travis Harris (\$60,000 – \$7,500) Keelyn Kidd (\$90,000 – \$7,500) Felix Flores	52,500 82,500 60,000	
Ex	x. 11–15		
a.	Medical Equipment  Douglass, Capital  Finn, Capital	•	10,000 <sup>1</sup> 15,000 <sup>2</sup>
	$^{1}$25,000 \times 2/5 = $10,000$ $^{2}$25,000 \times 3/5 = $15,000$		
b.	(1) Cash  Douglass, Capital  Finn, Capital  Koster, Capital		22,000 33,000 255,000

#### Ex. 11-15 Concluded

#### Supporting calculations for the bonus:

Equity of Douglass	\$250,000
Equity of Finn	290,000
Contribution by Koster	310,000
Total equity after admitting Koster	\$850,000
Koster's equity interest after admission	× 30%
Koster's equity after admission	<u>\$255,000</u>
Contribution by Koster	\$310,000
Koster's equity after admission	255,000
Bonus paid to Douglass and Finn	\$ 55,000

Douglass:  $$55,000 \times 2/5 = $22,000$ Finn:  $$55,000 \times 3/5 = $33,000$ 

b. (2) Cash..... 160,000 6,000 Douglass, Capital..... 9,000 Finn, Capital .....

Koster, Capital ..... 175,000

#### Supporting calculations for the bonus:

Equity of Douglass	\$250,000
Equity of Finn	290,000
Contribution by Koster	160,000
Total equity after admitting Koster	\$700,000
Koster's equity interest after admission	× 25%
Koster's equity after admission	\$175,000
Contribution by Koster	160,000
Bonus paid to Koster	\$ 15,000

Douglass:  $$15,000 \times 2/5 = $6,000$ Finn:  $$15,000 \times 3/5 = $9,000$ 

a.	P. Whyte, Capital	8,000	
	M. Cunningham, Capital	8,000	
	Equipment		16,000
h	(1) Cash	50,000	
D.	(1) Cash	2,300	
	P. Whyte, Capital	2,300 2,300	
	L. Harris, Capital	2,300	54,600
	Supporting calculations for the bonus:		0 1,000
	Equity of Whyte		\$ 92,000
	Equity of Cunningham		131,000
	Contribution by Harris		50,000
	Total equity after admitting Harris		\$273,000
	Harris's equity interest after admission		× 20%
	Harris's equity after admission		\$ 54,600
	Contribution by Harris		<u>50,000</u>
	Bonus paid to Harris		<u>\$ 4,600</u>
	The bonus to Harris is debited equally between Whyte tal accounts.	e's and Cu	nningham's capi-
b.	(2) Cash	125,000	
	P. Whyte, Capital	120,000	10,300
	M. Cunningham, Capital		10,300
	L. Harris, Capital		104,400
	Supporting calculations for the bonus:		
	Equity of Whyte		\$ 92,000
	Equity of Cunningham		131,000
	Contribution by Harris		<u>125,000</u>
	Total equity after admitting Harris		\$348,000
	Harris's equity interest after admission		× 30%
	Harris's equity after admission		<u>\$104,400</u>
	Contribution by Harris		\$125,000
	Harris's equity after admissionBonus paid to Whyte and Cunningham		104,400 \$ 20,600

The bonus to Whyte and Cunningham is credited equally between Whyte's and Cunningham's capital accounts.

## ANGEL INVESTOR ASSOCIATES Statement of Changes in Partnership Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Jen Wilson, <u>Capital</u>	Teresa McDonald, <u>Capital</u>	Jaime Holden, <u>Capital</u>	Total Partner- ship <u>Capital</u>
Partnership capital, January 1, 2015	\$ 45,000	\$55,000	_	\$100,000
Admission of Jaime Holden	_	_	\$25,000	25,000
Salary allowance	30,000	_	_	30,000
Remaining income	46,800	57,200	26,000	130,000
Less: Partner withdrawals	(38,400)	(28,600)	(13,000)	(80,000)
Partnership capital, December 31, 2015	<u>\$ 83,400</u>	\$83,600	\$38,000	<u>\$205,000</u>
Admission of Jaime Holden:				
Equity of initial partners prior to admission	on	\$1	100,000	
Contribution by Holden		-	25,000	
Total			25,000	
Holden's equity interest after admission		•	000/	
Holden's equity after admission			25,000	
Contribution by Holden		-	25,000	
No bonus			0	

#### **Net income distribution:**

The income-sharing ratio is equal to the proportion of the capital balances after admitting Holden according to the partnership agreement:

Jen Wilson: 
$$\frac{$45,000}{$125,000} = 36\%$$

Teresa McDonald: 
$$\frac{$55,000}{$125,000} = 44\%$$

Jaime Holden: 
$$\frac{$25,000}{$125,000} = 20\%$$

These ratios can be multiplied by the \$130,000 remaining income (\$160,000 – \$30,000 salary allowance to Wilson) to distribute the earnings to the respective partner capital accounts.

#### Withdrawals:

Half of the remaining income and salary allowance is distributed to the three partners.

and b.		
Joe Chew, Capital	86,000	
Candace Heraghty, Capital		43,000
Chris Kilgour, Capital		43,000
	Joe Chew, Capital Candace Heraghty, Capital	Joe Chew, Capital 86,000 Candace Heraghty, Capital

The amount paid does not impact the journal entry as the transaction is between Chew, Heraghty, and Kilgour, not between Chew and the partnership.

#### Ex. 11-19

a.			
	Andy Heel, Capital	307,800	
	Jeff Hanning, Capital	ŕ	61,560
	Les Paull, Capital		246,240
b.	•		•
	Andy Heel, Capital	307,800	
	Les Paull, Capital	·	307,800

The amount paid does not impact the journal entry as the transaction is between Heel, Hanning, and Paull, not between Heel and the partnership.

a.	Joe Collins, Capital Cash	26,000	26,000
b.			
	Joe Collins, Capital  Cash  Heather Catte, Capital  Chris Gilgan, Capital  *(\$2,000 x 4/5)	26,000	24,000 1,600* 400
C.	Joe Collins, Capital  Heather Catte, Capital  Chris Gilgan, Capital  Cash  *(\$2,000 x 4/5)	26,000 1,600* 400	28,000

a.			
	Carissa Alton, Capital	66,000	
	Cash		60,000
	Terry Constantino, Capital		4,000*
	Andrew Morris, Capital		2,000
	*(\$6,000 x 4/6)		, = = =
b.	Income Summary	100,500	
	Terry Constantino, Capital		67,000*
	Andrew Morris, Capital		33,500
	*(\$100,500 x 4/6)		•

#### Ex. 11-22

a.

	Elena	Xiru	Reg	Kendra	
	<u>Oprescu</u>	<u>Wang</u>	<u>Miller</u>	<b>Batty</b>	<u>Total</u>
Salary allowance	\$96,000	\$96,000	-	-	\$192,000
Remaining income	83,200	83,200	20,800	20,800	208,000
Total	\$179,200	\$179,200	\$20,800	\$20,800	\$400,000
b. 2015 Mar. 31 Income Summary Elena Oprescu, Xiru Wang, Cap Reg Miller, Capi Kendra Batty, Ca	Capital ital talapital		400,000 96,000	179,2 179,2 20,8 20,8	200 300
Xiru Wang, Capita	•		96,000		
Elena Oprescu Xiru Wang, Wit	, Withdrawal	s	23,000	96,0 96,0	

c. Xiru Wang's account balance, March 31, 2015:

Beginning balance \$ 30,000 Add net income 179,200 Less withdrawals (96,000)

Balance ...... \$113,200

#### Ex. 11-22 Concluded

d. Mar. 31Xiru Wang, Capital	113,200
Elena Oprescu, Capital	4.534
Reg Miller, Capital	1,133
Kendra Batty, Capital	1,133
Cash	120,000

#### Ex. 11-23

a. The income-sharing ratio is determined by dividing the net income for each partner by the total net income. Thus, in 2015, the income-sharing ratio is as follows:

Pat Peters: 
$$\frac{$90,000}{$300,000} = 30\%$$

Jessie Quan: 
$$\frac{$210,000}{$300,000} = 70\%$$

b. Following the same procedure as in (a):

Pat Peters: 
$$\frac{$100,000}{$400,000} = 25\%$$

Jessie Quan: 
$$\frac{$220,000}{$400,000} = 55\%$$

Randy Reed: 
$$\frac{$80,000}{$400,000} = 20\%$$

- c. Randy Reed provided a \$290,000 cash contribution to the business. The amount credited to his capital account is this amount less a \$20,000 bonus paid to the other two partners, or \$270,000.
- d. The positive entries to Pat Peters and Jessie Quan are the result of a bonus paid by Randy Reed.
- e. Randy Reed acquired a 20% interest in the business, computed as follows:

Randy Reed's contribution	\$	290,000
Pat Peters, Capital		540,000
Jessie Quan, Capital		520,000
Total	<b>\$</b> 1	,350,000

#### Ex. 11-23 Concluded

Randy Reed's ownership interest of 20% can also be verified by the percentage of net income allocated to his capital account:  $$80,000 \div $400,000 = 20\%$ .

#### Ex. 11-24

a.

	Cash balance Sum of capital accounts Loss from sale of noncash assets	\$16,000 20,000 \$ 4,000	
		Pryor	Lester
b. c.	Capital balances before sale of assets  Division of loss on sale of noncash assets Balances  Cash distributed to partners  Final balances	\$12,000 <u>2,000</u> * 10,000 <u>10,000</u> <u>\$</u>	\$8,000 <u>2,000</u> * 6,000 <u>6,000</u> \$ 0

<sup>\*\$4,000/2</sup> 

	<b>Bradley</b>	<u>Barak</u>	<u>Total</u>
Capital balances before sale of assets  Division of gain on sale of noncash assets	\$26,000	\$35,000	\$61,000
[(\$76,000 – \$61,000)/2]	7,500	7,500	<u>15,000</u>
Capital balances after sale of assets	33,500	42,500	76,000
Cash distributed to partners	<u>33,500</u>	42,500	<u>76,000</u>
Final balances	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

- a. Deficiency
- b. \$72,500 (\$28,000 + \$62,500 \$18,000)

C.	CashShen, Capital	18,000	18,000	
		<u>Matthews</u>	<u>Williams</u>	Shen
	Capital balances after sale of assets	\$28,000	\$62,500	\$(18,000) Dr.
	Receipt of partner deficiency  Capital balances after eliminating			<u> 18,000</u>
	deficiency	<u>\$28,000</u>	<u>\$62,500</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

#### Ex. 11-27

a. Cash should be distributed as indicated in the following tabulation:

	<u>Houston</u>	<u>Alsup</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<b>Total</b>
Capital invested	\$ 250	\$ 380	<b>\$</b> —	\$ 630
Net income	<u>+ 130</u>	<u>+ 130</u>	<u>+ 130</u>	+ 390
Capital balances and cash distribution	<u>\$ 380</u>	<u>\$ 510</u>	<u>\$ 130</u>	<u>\$1,020</u>

b. Cross has a capital deficiency of \$30, as indicated in the following tabulation:

	<b>Houston</b>	<u>Alsup</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<u>Total</u>
Capital invested	\$ 250	\$ 380	<b>\$</b> —	\$ 630
Net loss	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Capital balances	\$ 220	\$ 350	\$ 30 Dr.	\$ 540

	<u>Hilliard</u>	<u>Downey</u>	Petrov
Capital balances after sale of assets  Distribution of partner deficiency  Capital balances after deficiency	\$(24,000) <u>24,000</u>	\$90,000 <u>(16,000</u> ) <sup>1</sup>	\$64,000 (8,000) <sup>2</sup>
distribution	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$74,000</u>	<u>\$56,000</u>

 $<sup>^{1}$24,000 \</sup>times 2/3$ 

 $<sup>^{2}$24,000 \</sup>times 1/3$ 

Ex. 11-29

## DOVER, GOLL, AND CHAMBERLAND Statement of Partnership Liquidation For the Period July 1–29, 2015

							Capital	
	Cash	+ Inventory :	= Liabilities	+	Dover (3/6)	+	Goll (2/6)	Chamberland + (1/6)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of assets and division	\$ 55,000	\$ 92,000	\$ 40,000		\$ 35,000		\$ 50,000	\$ 22,000
of loss Balances after sale of assets	+ 74,000 129,000		40,000		<u>- 9,000</u> 26,000		<u>- 6,000</u> 44,000	
Payment of liabilities Balances after payment of	<u>- 40,000</u>		<u>- 40,000</u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
liabilities	89,000	0	0		26,000		44,000	19,000
Cash distributed to partners	<u>- 89,000</u> \$ 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>- 26,000</u> \$ 0		<u>- 44,000</u> \$ 0	<u>- 19,000</u> \$ 0

a.

## BRIGHT SALES, LLP Statement of Partnership Liquidation For the Period May 1–31, 2015

												C	apital		
		Cash	+		A/R	=	Liabilities	+	E	Brazier (2/5)	+		Moore (2/5)	+	Jonah (1/5)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of assets and division	\$	8,000		\$	94,000		\$ 30,000		\$	15,000		\$	35,000		\$ 22,000
of loss	<u>+</u>	90,000		_	90,000				_	1,600		_	- 1,600		<del>- 800</del>
Balances after sale of assets		98,000			0		30,000			13,400			33,400		21,200
Payment of liabilities	_	30,000					<b>- 30,000</b>								
Balances after payment of															
liabilities		68,000			0		0			13,400			33,400		21,200
Distribution of cash to partners	_	68,000							_	13,400		_	33,400		<b>– 21,200</b>
Final balances	\$	0		\$	0		\$ 0		\$	0		\$	0		\$ 0

b.

Brazier, Capital	13,400	
Moore, Capital	33,400	
Jonah, Capital	21,200	
Cash	·	68,000

a.

(1)	Income Summary Hossam Abdel-Raja, Capital Aly Meyer, Capital	124,000	62,000 62,000
(2)	Hossam Abdel-Raja, CapitalAly Meyer, Capital	48,000 39,000	
	Hossam Abdel-Raja, Withdrawals Aly Meyer, Withdrawals	23,000	48,000 39,000

b.

## ABDEL-RAJA AND MEYER Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Hossam <u>Abdel-Raja</u>	Aly <u>Meyer</u>	<u>Total</u>
Capital, January 1, 2015	\$ 90,000	\$ 65,000	\$155,000
Additional investment during the year	10,000	_	10,000
•	100,000	65,000	165,000
Net income for the year	62,000	62,000	124,000
•	162,000	127,000	289,000
Withdrawals during the year	48,000	39,000	87,000
Capital, December 31, 2015	\$114,000	\$ 88,000	\$202,000

## **PROBLEMS**

## Prob. 11-1A

1.										
Jan	1 CashInventoryKevin Schmidt, Capital	12,000 32,000	44,000							
	1 Cash	13,000 14,900 28,600 35,000	1,000 6,500 4,000 80,000							
2.	2.  SCHMIDT AND COHEN  Balance Sheet  January 1, 2015									
	Assets									
Ca Ac Le	ent assets:  ash	\$25,000 13,900 60,600	\$ 99,500							
Ē	erty, plant, and equipment: quipment assets		35,000 \$134,500							
Curre	<u>Liabilities</u> ent liabilities:									
A No	ccounts payable otes payable liabilities	\$ 6,500 4,000	\$ 10,500							
David Total	Partners' Equity  Schmidt, capital  Cohen, capital  partners' equity  liabilities and partners' equity	44,000 80,000	_124,000 \$134,500							
,			<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>							

## Prob. 11-1A Concluded

3.

Dec	31	Income Summary	84,000	
		Kevin Schmidt, Capital	·	47,200*
		David Cohen, Capital		36,800*
	31	Kevin Schmidt, Capital	30,000	
		David Cohen, Capital	25,000	
		Kevin Schmidt, Withdrawals		30,000
		David Cohen, Withdrawals		25,000

## \*Computations:

	<u>Schmidt</u>	<u>Cohen</u>	<u>Total</u>
Interest allowance	\$ 4,400 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 8,000 <sup>2</sup>	\$12,400
Salary allowance	36,000	22,000	58,000
Remaining income (1:1)	6,800	6,800	13,600
Net income	\$47,200	\$36,800	\$84,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>10% × \$44,000 <sup>2</sup>10% × \$80,000

## Prob. 11-2A

ГЦ	)U. 11-2A						
		(1 \$150		(2) \$66,000			
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Pla	<u>n</u>	<u>Drury</u>	<u>Wilkins</u>	<u>Drury</u>	<u>Wilkins</u>		
a.		\$75,000	\$75,000	\$33,000	\$33,000		
b.		60,000	90,000	26,400	39,600		
C.		100,000	50,000	44,000	22,000		
d.		89,000	61,000	38,600	27,400		
e.		83,000	67,000	41,000	25,000		
f.		92,900	57,100	42,500	23,500		
		<u>Details</u>					
		<u></u> \$150	,000	\$66,	000		
		<u>Drury</u>	<u>Wilkins</u>	<u>Drury</u>	<u> Wilkins</u>		
a.	Net income (1:1)	<u>\$ 75,000</u>	<u>\$ 75,000</u>	<u>\$ 33,000</u>	<u>\$ 33,000</u>		
b.	Net income (2:3)	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ 90,000</u>	<u>\$ 26,400</u>	<u>\$ 39,600</u>		
c.	Net income (2:1)	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>\$ 50,000</u>	<u>\$ 44,000</u>	\$ 22,000		
d.	Interest allowance	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000		
	Remaining income (3:2)	87,000	58,000	36,600	24,400		
	Net income	\$ 89,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 38,600	\$ 27,400		
e.	Interest allowance	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000		
	Salary allowance	34,000	17,000	34,000	17,000		
	Remaining income (1:1)	47,000	47,000	<u>5,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>		
	Net income	<u>\$ 83,000</u>	<u>\$ 67,000</u>	<u>\$ 41,000</u>	<u>\$ 25,000</u>		
f.	Interest allowance	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000		
••	Salary allowance	34,000	17,000	34,000	17,000		
	Bonus allowance	19,800 <sup>1</sup>	17,000	34,000 3,000 <sup>2</sup>	17,000		
	Remaining income (1:1)	37,100	37,100	3,500	3,500		
	Net income	\$ 92,900	\$ 57,100 \$ 57,100	\$ 42,500	\$ 23,500		
		<u>Ψ 32,300</u>	<u>Ψ J1,100</u>	<u>Ψ 72,300</u>	<u> </u>		
	$^{1}20\% \times (\$150.000 - \$51.000)$						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>20% × (\$150,000 - \$51,000) <sup>2</sup>20% × (\$66,000 - \$51,000)

## Prob. 11-3A

1.

	Sam <u>Frances</u>	Lynn <u>Madson</u>	Mike <u>Wang</u>	Deirdre <u>Manis</u>	<u>Total</u>
Salary allowance	\$ <u> </u>	\$115,600	\$ <u> </u>	\$ —	\$115,600
Interest allowance	5,790	6,025	4,435	3,750	20,000
Remaining income	<u>210,976</u>	<u>158,232</u>	<u>105,488</u>	<u>52,744</u>	<u>527,440</u>
Net income	<u>\$216,766</u>	<u>\$279,857</u>	<u>\$109,923</u>	<u>\$ 56,494</u>	<u>\$663,040</u>

2.

## 2015

Dec.31	Income Summary	663,040	
	Sam Frances, Capital	·	216,766
	Lynn Madson, Capital		279,857
	Mike Wang, Capital		109,923
	Deirdre Manis, Capital		56,494
	Sam Frances, Capital	5,790	
	Lynn Madson, Capital	121,625	
	Mike Wang, Capital	4,435	
	Deirdre Manis, Capital	3,750	
	Sam Frances, Withdrawals	·	5,790
	Lynn Madson, Withdrawals		121,625
	Mike Wang, Withdrawals		4,435
	Deirdre Manis, Withdrawals		3,750

## Prob. 11–3A Concluded 3.

#### ARTEMIS LLP Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Sam Frances, <u>Capital</u>	Lynn Madson, Capital	Mike Wang, <u>Capital</u>	Deirdre Manis, <u>Capital</u>
Partnership capital, January 1, 2015	\$115,800	\$120,500	\$ 88,700	\$75,000
Additional investment	100,000	_	_	_
Salary allowance	_	115,600	_	_
Interest allowance	5,790	6,025	4,435	3,750
Remaining income	210,976	158,232	105,488	52,744
Less: Partner withdrawals	(5,790)	<u>(121,625</u> )	<u>(4,435</u> )	<u>(3,750</u> )
Partnership capital, December 31, 2015	\$426,776	\$278,732	\$194,188	\$127,744

4. \$279,857 (\$115,600 + \$6,025 + \$ 158,232). Each partner will be taxed on their portion of the net income, which may differ from the amount of their withdrawals.

#### Prob. 11-4A

	Sandra <u>Louis</u>	Amelia <u>Alexis</u>	Alex <u>Donald</u>	<u>Total</u>
Salary allowance	\$40,000	\$40,000	<b>\$</b> —	\$80,000
Interest allowance	6,402	3,504	2,772	12,678
Remaining loss	<u>-80,340</u>	<u>-40,170</u>	<u>-40,170</u>	<u>-160,680</u>
Net income	-33,938	\$ 3,334	<b>\$-37,398</b>	\$-68,002

## Prob. 11-4A Concluded

2.	
201	5

Dec. 31	Sandra Louis, Capital Alex Donald, Capital Amelia Alexis, Capital Income Summary	33,938 37,398 3,3 68,0		
	Sandra Louis, Capital	46,402		
	Amelia Alexis, Capital	43,504		
	Alex Donald, Capital	2,772		
	Sandra Louis, Withdrawals		46.402	
	Amelia Alexis, Withdrawals		43,504	
	Alex Donald, Withdrawals		2,772	

3.

## LOUIS, ALEXIS, AND DONALD, LLP Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Sandra Louis, <u>Capital</u>	Amelia Alexis, Capital	Alex Donald, <u>Capital</u>
Partnership capital, January 1, 2015	\$106,700	\$58,400	\$ 46,200
Salary allowance	40,000	40,000	
Interest allowance	6,402	3,504	2,772
Remaining loss	(80,340)	(40,170)	(40,170)
Less: Partner withdrawals	(46,402)	(43,504)	(2,772)
Partnership capital, December 31, 2015	\$ 26,360	\$ 18,230	\$ 6,030

#### Prob. 11-5A

1.

# WHITE AND WEEKLEY Income Statement For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

Professional fees Operating expenses: Salary expense Depreciation expense Administrative expenses			\$312,300 81,700 <u>20,200</u>	\$562,200
Total operating expenses				414,200
Net income				<u>\$148,000</u>
		en hite	Alex Weekley	Total
Division of net income:				
Salary allowance	\$ 60	0,000	75,000	\$ 135,000
Interest allowance	. (	6,250*	7,000**	13,250
Remaining income		(125)	(125)	(250)
Net income	\$ 60	6,125 S	\$ 81,875 <sup>°</sup>	<u>\$ 148,000</u>
*\$125,000 × 5%				
**(\$160,000 <b>–</b> \$20,000) × 5%				

2.

## WHITE AND WEEKLEY Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Ken White	Alex <u>Weekley</u>	Total
Capital, January 1, 2015	\$ 125,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 265,000
Additional investment during the year		20,000	20,000
	125,000	160,000	285,000
Net income for the year	<u>66,125</u>	<u>81,875</u>	148,000
·	191,125	241,875	433,000
Withdrawals during the year	50,000	60,000	110,000
Capital, December 31, 2015	<u>\$ 141,125</u>	<u>\$ 181,875</u>	\$ 323,000

## Prob. 11–5A Concluded 3.

# WHITE AND WEEKLEY Balance Sheet December 31, 2015

\$ 24,200 41,300 <u>6,700</u>	\$ 72,200
500,000 <u>240,600</u>	_259,400 \$331,600
\$ 3,400 <u>5,200</u>	\$ 8,600
141,125 181,875	323,000 \$331,600
5,270 25,700	2,000 470* 5,000 11,750 11,750
	41,300 6,700 500,000 240,600 \$ 3,400 5,200 141,125 181,875

## Prob. 11-6A Concluded

2. June 1 LaToya Orr, Capital	30,000 35,000	30,000 35,000
Assets  Current assets: Cash	\$44,400 <sup>1</sup> 18,430 63,870 3,500	\$130,200 <u>90,000</u> <u>\$220,200</u>
Liabilities  Current liabilities:	\$14,700 12,000 86,750 <sup>2</sup> 41,750 <sup>3</sup> 65,000	\$ 26,700 <u>193,500</u> <u>\$220,200</u>

## Prob. 11-7A

1. Inventory	24,000	5,800 7,800 <sup>1</sup> 5,200 <sup>2</sup> 5,200 <sup>2</sup>
2. a. 2015 Dec. 31 Noni Fidler, Capital	252,800 <sup>1</sup>	52,800 200,000
1\$245,000 + \$7,800  b. 2015  Dec. 31 Noni Fidler, Capital	252,800	45,000 200,000 3,900 <sup>2</sup> 3,900 <sup>2</sup>
c. 2015 Dec. 31 Noni Fidler, Capital	252,800 1,600 <sup>3</sup> 1,600 <sup>3</sup>	56,000 200,000

## Prob. 11-7A Concluded

3. 2016			
Dec. 31	Income Summary	320,000	
	Margot Hess, Capital		160,000
	Susan Culver, Capital		160,000
	Margot Hess, Capital	120,000	
	Susan Culver, Capital	96,000	
	Margot Hess, Withdrawals		120,000
	Susan Culver, Withdrawals		96,000

#### Prob. 11-8A

## 1.

#### HARKEN, SEDLACEK, AND ELDRIDGE Statement of Partnership Liquidation For the Period September 10–30, 2015

							Capital		
	Cash	+ Inventory	= Liabilitie	S +	Harken (25%)	+	Sedlacek (25%)	+	Eldridge (50%)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of assets and division of loss Balances after sale of assets Payment of liabilities Balances after payment of liabilities Receipt of deficiency Balances Cash distributed to partners Final balances	\$ 7,800 + 32,600 40,400 - 8,000 32,400 + 1,500 33,900 - 33,900 \$ 0	\$ 61,400 - 61,400 0 	•	<u>=</u>	\$ 31,000 - 7,200 23,800 	=	5,700 - 7,200 (1,500) 		\$ 24,500 - 14,400 10,100  10,100  10,100  \$ 0
2. a. Kris Harken, Capital Amy Eldridge, Capital Brett Sedlacek, Capital			500 1,000	1	,500				

The \$1,500 deficiency of Sedlacek would be divided between the other partners, Harken and Eldridge, in their income-sharing ratio (1:2 respectively). Therefore, Harken would absorb 1/3 of the \$1,500 deficiency, or \$500, and Eldridge would absorb 2/3 of the \$1,500 deficiency, or \$1,000.

b.	Kris Harken, Capital	23,300*	
	Amy Eldridge, Capital	9,100**	
	Cash		32,400
	*\$23,800 - \$500		·
	**\$10,100 - \$1,000		

### Prob. 11-9A

1. a.

# ADAMS, WATKIS, AND COOPER Statement of Partnership Liquidation For Period June 3–29, 2015

								Capital				
	Cash	+	A/R	=	Liabilities	+	Adams (1/5)	+		/atkis (2/5)	+	Cooper (2/5)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of assets and division	\$ 29,000		\$ 242,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 14,000		\$	84,000		\$ 118,000
of gain	+ 220,000		<u>- 242,000</u>				<u>- 4,400</u>		_	8,800		<u>- 8,800</u>
Balances after sale of assets	249,000		0		55,000		9,600			75,200		109,200
Payment of liabilities Balances after payment	<u>- 55,000</u>				<u> </u>							
of liabilities	194,000		0		0		9,600			75,200		109,200
Cash distributed to partners	<u> </u>						<u>- 9,600</u>		_	<b>75,200</b>		<u>- 109,200</u>
Final balances	<u>\$</u>		\$ 0		<b>\$</b> 0		\$ 0		\$	0		<b>\$</b> 0

### Prob. 11-9A Concluded

#### 1. b.

### ADAMS, WATKIS, AND COOPER Statement of Partnership Liquidation For Period June 3–29, 2015

									Capital		
Ca	ash	+ _	A/R	=	Liabilities	+	Adams (1/5)	+	Watkis (2/5)	+	Cooper (2/5)
Sale of assets and division of loss + 13 Balances after sale of assets 16 Payment of liabilities	61,000 65,000 66,000 8,000 4,000		\$ 242,000 - 242,000 0  0  0  5 0		\$ 55,000 		\$ 14,000 - 22,000 (8,000) 		\$ 84,000 - 44,000 40,000 		\$ 118,000 - 44,000 74,000 
2. a. Watkis, Capital Cooper, Capital Adams, Capital					4,000 4,000	8,0	000				

The \$8,000 deficiency of Adams would be divided between the other partners, Watkis and Cooper, in their income-sharing ratio (1:1 respectively). Therefore, Watkis would absorb 1/2 of the \$8,000 deficiency, or \$4,000, and Cooper would absorb 1/2 of the \$8,000 deficiency, or \$4,000.

b.	Watkis, Capital	36,000*	
	Cooper, Capital	70,000**	
	Cash	·	106,000
	*\$40,000 - \$4,000		·
	**\$74.000 - \$4.000		

### Prob. 11-1B

1.				
Jan.	10	CashInventory	18,200 48,800	
		Jarius Walker, Capital		67,000
	10	Cash Accounts Receivable	22,600	
		Equipment	24,100 55,100	
		Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	00,100	1,800
		Accounts Payable		15,000
		Notes Payable		25,000
		Rae King, Capital		60,000
2.				
		WALKER AND KING		
		Balance Sheet		
		January 10, 2016		
		<u>Assets</u>		
		ssets:	\$ 40,800	
		nts receivable \$ 24,100	<b>\$ 40,000</b>	
		Illowance for doubtful accounts 1,800	22,300	
Inv		ory	48,800	
Drono		al current assets		\$ 111,900
-	_	plant, and equipment: nent		55,100
		ets		\$ 167,000
		Liabilities		·
Curre	nt li	abilities:		
Ac	cou	nts payable	\$ 15,000	
		payable	<u>25,000</u>	<b>f</b> 40 000
ıotai	IIabi	ilities		\$ 40,000
la ultra	- \A/-	Partners' Equity	67.000	
		ılker, capital , capital	67,000 <u>60,000</u>	
		ners' equity	00,000	127,000
		ilities and partners' equity		\$ 167,000

# Prob. 11-1B Concluded

3.

Dec	31	Income Summary Jarius Walker, Capital Rae King, Capital	80,000	36,400* 43,600*
	31	Jarius Walker, Capital	22,500	
		Rae King, Capital	30,400	
		Jarius Walker, Withdrawals		22,500
		Rae King, Withdrawals		30,400

# \*Computations:

	<u> Walker</u>	<u>King</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Interest allowance	\$ 6,700 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 6,000 <sup>2</sup>	\$ 12,700	
Salary allowance	22,500	30,400	52,900	
Remaining income (1:1)	7,200	7,200	14,400	
Net income	\$ 36,400	\$ 43,600	\$ 80,000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>10% × \$67,000 <sup>2</sup>10% × \$60,000

# Prob. 11-2B

		(1 <b>\$10</b> 5			2) 0,000	
<u>Pla</u>	<u>n</u>	Larson	Amos	Larson	Amos	
a. b.		\$52,500 78,750	\$52,500 26,250	\$90,000 135,000	\$90,000 45,000	
c. d.		35,000 58,500	70,000 46,500	60,000 96,000	120,000 84,000	
e. f.		42,500 41,600	62,500 63,400	80,000 71,600	100,000 108,400	
		<u>Details</u>				
		\$105	,000	\$180,000		
		Larson	Amos	Larson	Amos	
a.	Net income (1:1)	<u>\$ 52,500</u>	<u>\$ 52,500</u>	<u>\$ 90,000</u>	<u>\$ 90,000</u>	
b.	Net income (3:1)	<u>\$ 78,750</u>	<u>\$ 26,250</u>	<u>\$ 135,000</u>	<u>\$ 45,000</u>	
C.	Net income (1:2)	<u>\$ 35,000</u>	<u>\$ 70,000</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ 120,000</u>	
d.	Interest allowance Remaining Income (1:1) Net income	\$ 18,000 <u>40,500</u> \$ 58,500	\$ 6,000 <u>40,500</u> \$ 46,500	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,000	
e.	Interest allowance Salary allowance Excess of allowances over	\$ 18,000 32,000	\$ 6,000 64,000	\$ 18,000 32,000	\$ 6,000 64,000	
	income (1:1) Remaining income (1:1)	(7,500)	(7,500)	30,000	30,000	
	Net income	<u>\$ 42,500</u>	<u>\$ 62,500</u>	<u>\$ 80,000</u>	<u>\$ 100,000</u>	
f.	Interest allowance  Salary allowance  Bonus allowance  Excess of allowances over	\$ 18,000 32,000	\$ 6,000 64,000 1,800 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 18,000 32,000	\$ 6,000 64,000 16,800 <sup>2</sup>	
	income (1:1) Remaining income (1:1)	(8,400)	(8,400)	21,600 \$ 71,600	21,600 £ 108 400	
	Net income	<u>\$ 41,600</u>	<u>\$ 63,400</u>	<u>\$ 71,600</u>	<u>\$ 108,400</u>	

# Prob. 11-3B

	Von	Elisse	Ming	David	<b>T</b> . ( . )
Salary allowance	Porter \$ 85,600	Rand \$ -	Foo	Estevan \$ -	Total \$85,600
Salary allowance	φ 05,000	Ψ -	Ψ -	Ψ -	φου,ουσ
Interest allowance	3,425	3,750	2,495	1,773	11,443
Remaining income	<u>178,956</u>	134,217	89,478	44,739	447,390
Net income	<u>\$267,981</u>	<u>\$137,967</u>	<u>\$91,973</u>	<u>\$46,512</u>	<u>\$544,433</u>
1.					
2015					
Dec. 31 Income	Summary			544,433	
		ital			267,981
					137,967
		al Na : 1 a !			91,973
David	a Estevan, C	Capital			46,512
Von Por	ter. Capital			89,025	
				3,750	
Ming Fo	o, Capital			2,495	
	•			1,773	
	•	drawals			89,025
	•	hdrawals			3,750
					2,495 4,773
David	u Estevan, v	<b>Vithdrawals</b>			1,773
3.					
	Α	MBIGUITY,	LLP		
			Partners' Eq		
F0	or the Year	Ended Dece	mber 31, 201	5	
		W	F!:		Davidal
		Vo Por		9	David Estevan,
		<u>Cap</u>	•	•	Capital
	4 004	·	<u></u>		
Partnership capital, Jan Additional investment	•		•	• •	\$35,460
Salary allowance			50,00 ,600	U	
Interest allowance			,000 ,425 3,75	0 2,495	1,773
Remaining income			•	•	44,739
Less: Partner withdrawa			025) (3,7	•	(1,773)
Partnership capital, Dec	ember 31, 2			<u>\$139,378</u>	<u>\$80,199</u>

# Prob. 11-3B (Concluded)

4. \$267,981 (\$85,600 + \$3,425 + 178,956). Each partner will be taxed on their portion of the net income, which may differ from the amount of their withdrawals.

### Prob. 11-4B

1.

Salary allowance	David <u>Funk</u> \$ -	Nathan <u>George</u> \$50,000	Princess <u>Lafayette</u> \$ 50,000	<u>Total</u> \$100,000
Interest allowance	12,804	7.008	5,544	25,356
Remaining loss Net income	<u>–113,678</u> –100,874	<u>-56.839</u> \$ 169	<u>-56.839</u> \$-1.295	<u>-227,356</u> \$-102,000

#### 2.

### 2015

Dec. 31	David Funk, Capital	100,874	
Dec. 31		•	
	Princess Lafayette, Capital	1,295	400
	Nathan George, Capital		169
	Income Summary		102,000
	David Funk, Capital	12,804	
	Nathan George, Capital	57,008	
	Princess Lafayette, Capital	55,544	
	David Funk, Withdrawals		12,804
	Nathan George, Withdrawals		57,008
	Princess Lafavette, Withdrawals		55,544

# Prob. 11-4B (Concluded)

2.

### FUNK, GEORGE, AND LAFAYETTE LLP **Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity** For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	David <u>Funk</u>	Nathan <u>George</u>	Princess <u>Lafayette</u>
Partnership capital, January 1, 2015	\$160,050	\$87,600	\$ 69,300
Salary allowance		50,000	50,000
Interest allowance	12,804	7,008	5,544
Remaining loss	(113,678)	(56,839)	(56,839)
Less: Partner withdrawals	(12,804)	(57,008)	(55,544)
Partnership capital, December 31, 2015	\$ 46,372	\$ 30,761	\$ 12,461

Prob. 11-5B

1.

### **KIKUCHI AND JONES Income Statement** For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

Professional fees Operating expenses:			\$340,300
Salary expense		\$146,800	
Depreciation expense		19,000	
Administrative expenses		<u>24,500</u>	
Total operating expenses			<u>190,300</u>
Net income			<u>\$150,000</u>
	Shizuka <u>Kikuchi</u>	Kilmeny <u>Jones</u>	Total
Division of net income:			
Salary allowance	\$ 40,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 90,000
Interest allowance	12,000*	6,500**	18,500
Remaining income	<u>20,750</u>	<u> 20,750</u>	41,500
Net income	<u>\$ 72,750</u>	<u>\$ 77,250</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>
*\$120,000 × 10%			

<sup>\*\*(\$75,000 - \$10,000) × 10%</sup> 

# Prob. 11-5B (Concluded)

2.

### KIKUCHI AND JONES Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

Capital, January 1, 2015 Additional investment during the year  Net income for the year  Withdrawals during the year  Capital, December 31, 2015	Shizuka Kikuchi \$ 120,000	Kilmeny Jones  \$ 65,000 10,000 75,000 77,250 152,250 65,000 \$ 87,250	Total \$ 185,000
3.			
KIKUCHI AND			
Balance St December 31			
	•		
Assets Assets			
Current assets:  Cash Accounts receivable Supplies		\$ 32,000 42,300 1,500	<b>ф. 75 000</b>
Total current assets  Property, plant, and equipment:			\$ 75,800
Office equipment  Less accumulated depreciation  Total property, plant, and equipment  Total assets		249,100 <u>81,900</u>	167,200 \$ 243,000
الماناليا	_		
Liabilities  Current liabilities:  Accounts payable  Salaries payable  Total liabilities	<u>:5</u>	\$ 4,800 <u>3,200</u>	\$ 8,000
Partners' E	quity		
Shizuka Kikuchi, capital Kilmeny Jones, capital Total partners' equity Total liabilities and partners' equity	<del></del>	147,750 <u>87,250</u>	235,000 \$ 243,000

# Prob. 11-6B

1. Apr.	30 Inventory	6,480 51,700 29,000*	2,800 380**
	Sadhil Rao, Capital Lauren Sails, Capital* *\$194,000 – \$165,000		42,000 42,000
*	*[(\$38,400 – \$2,800) × 5%] – \$1,400		
2. May	1 Lauren Sails, Capital Paige Hancock, Capital	55,000	55,000
	1 Cash Paige Hancock, Capital	30,000	30,000

# Prob. 11-6B (Concluded)

3.

# RAO, SAILS, AND HANCOCK Balance Sheet May 1, 2015

Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash		\$ 37,500 <sup>1</sup>	
Accounts receivable	\$35,600	. ,	
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	1,780	33,820	
Inventory		65,480	
Prepaid insurance		2,200	
Total current assets			\$139,000
Property, plant, and equipment:			
Equipment			<u> 194,000</u>
Total assets			<u>\$333,000</u>
<u>Liabilities</u> Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable		\$ 9,000	
Notes payable		10,000	
Total liabilities			\$ 19,000
Partners' Equi	<u>ty</u>		
Sadhil Rao, capital		$152,000^2$	
Lauren Sails, capital		77,000 <sup>3</sup>	
Paige Hancock, capital		85,000	
Total partners' equity			314,000
Total liabilities and partners' equity			<u>\$333,000</u>
<sup>1</sup> \$7,500 + \$30,000			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>\$110,000 + \$42,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>\$90,000 + \$42,000 - \$55,000

# Prob. 11-7B

2015 Dec. 31 Sandra Brox, Capital	2,600 <sup>1</sup> 1,300 <sup>2</sup> 650 <sup>3</sup>	1,550 3,000
<sup>1</sup> (\$1,550 + \$3,000) × 4/7 <sup>2</sup> (\$1,550 + \$3,000) × 2/7 <sup>3</sup> (\$1,550 + \$3,000) × 1/7		
1. a. 2015		
Dec. 31 Sandra Brox, Capital  Cash  Notes Payable	142,000 <sup>1</sup>	42,000 100,000
<sup>1</sup> \$144,600 <b>-</b> \$2,600		
b. 2015	440.000	
Dec. 31 Sandra Brox, Capital	142,000 2,000¹ 1,000²	45,000 100,000
<sup>1</sup> (\$145,000 - \$142,000) x 2/3 <sup>2</sup> (\$145,000 - \$142,000) x 1/3		
c. 2015		
Dec. 31 Sandra Brox, Capital	142,000	36,000 100,000 4,000¹ 2,000²
<sup>1</sup> (\$142,000 - \$136,000) x 2/3 <sup>2</sup> (\$142,000 - \$136,000) x 1/3		

# Prob. 11-7B (Concluded)

3. 2016			
Dec. 31	•	240,000	400.000
	Amelia Donald, Capital		160,000
	Alex Caesar, Capital		80,000
	Amelia Donald, Capital	84,000	
	Alex Caesar, Capital	60,000	
	Amelia Donald, Withdrawals	•	84,000
	Alex Caesar, Withdrawals		60,000

#### Prob. 11-8B

#### 1.

### LACY, OLIVER, AND DUSSAULT **Statement of Partnership Liquidation** For Period July 3-29, 2015

				Capital	
	Cash +	A/R = Liabilities	Lacy + (50%) +	Oliver (25%) +	Dussault (25%)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of A/R and division of loss Balances after sale of assets Payment of liabilities Balances after payment of liabilities Receipt of deficiency Balances Cash distributed to partners Final balances		32,400     \$ 15,000       32,400     —       0     15,000       —     - 15,000       0     —       0     —       0     —       0     —       0     —       0     —       0     —       0     \$       0     \$	\$ 28,200 - 24,600 3,600  3,600  3,600  3,600 \$ 0	\$ 7,800 - 12,300 (4,500) 	\$ 37,200 - 12,300 24,900 
2. a. Whitney Lacy, Capital		1,500	4,500		

The \$4,500 deficiency of Oliver would be divided between the other partners, Lacy and Dussault, in their income-sharing ratio (2:1, respectively). Therefore, Lacy would absorb 2/3 of the \$4,500 deficiency, or \$3,000, and Dussault would absorb 1/3 of the \$4,500 deficiency, or \$1,500.

b. Whitney Lacy, Capital ..... 600\* Marc Dussault, Capital..... 23,400\*\* 24,000 Cash ..... \*\$3,600 - \$3,000 \*\*\$24,900 - \$1,500

### Prob. 11-9B

1. a.

### ORSON, DORR, AND KILLOUGH Statement of Partnership Liquidation For Period October 1–30, 2015

								Capital						
	Cash	+	Equip.	=	Liabilities	+	_	Orson (2/5)	+		Dorr (2/5)	+		lough (1/5)
Balances before sale of assets Sale of assets and division	\$ 9,000		\$ 155,000		\$ 42,000		\$	48,000		\$	63,000		\$	11,000
of gainBalances after sale of assets	+ 195,000 204,000		<u>- 155,000</u> 0		42,000		<u>+</u>	16,000 64,000		<u>+</u>	16,000 79,000		<u>+</u>	8,000 19,000
Payment of liabilities Balances after payment	<u>- 42,000</u>				<u>- 42,000</u>		_			_				
of liabilities	162,000 - 162,000		0		0			64,000 64,000			79,000 79,000			19,000 19,000
Cash distributed to partners Final balances	<u>= 102,000</u> <u>\$ 0</u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u>=</u>	04,000		<u>=</u>	0		<u> </u>	0

### Prob. 11-9B Concluded

#### 1. b.

### ORSON, DORR, AND KILLOUGH Statement of Partnership Liquidation For Period October 1–30, 2015

					Capital	
	Cash	+ Equip.	= Liabilities	Orson + (2/5)	Dorr + (2/5)	Killough + (1/5)
Balances before sale of assets	\$ 9,000	\$ 155,000	\$ 42,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 63,000	\$ 11,000
Sale of assets and division of loss		<b>–</b> 155,000	_	- 28,000	- 28,000	- 14,000
Balances after sale of assets	94,000	0	42,000	20,000	35,000	(3,000)
Payment of liabilities	<b>- 42,000</b>		-42,000			
Balances after payment of liabilities	52,000	0	0	20,000	35,000	(3,000)
Receipt of deficiency	+ 3,000					+ 3,000
Balances	55,000	0	0	20,000	35,000	0
Cash distributed to partners	<b>-</b> 55,000			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Final balances	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
2. a. Orson, Capital			1,500			
Dorr, Capital			1,500			
Killough, Capital			•	3,000		

The \$3,000 deficiency of Killough would be divided between the other partners, Orson and Dorr, in their income-sharing ratio (1:1, respectively). Therefore, Orson would absorb 1/2 of the \$3,000 deficiency, or \$1,500, and Dorr would absorb 1/2 of the \$3,000 deficiency, or \$1,500.

b.	Orson, Capital	18,500*	
	Dorr, Capital	33,500**	
	Cash	,	52,000
	*\$20,000 - \$1,500		•
	**\$35,000 - \$1,500		

#### **SPECIAL ACTIVITIES**

### Activity 11–1

This scenario highlights one of the problems that arise in partnerships: attempting to align contribution with income division. Often, disagreements are based on honest differences of opinion. However, in this scenario, there is evidence that Hayes was acting unethically. Hayes apparently made no mention of his plans to "scale back" once the partnership was formed. As a result, Edwards agreed to an equal division of income based on the assumption that Hayes's past efforts would project into the future, while in fact, Hayes had no intention of this. As a result, Edwards is now providing more effort, while receiving the same income as Hayes. This is clearly not sustainable in the long term. Hayes does not appear to be concerned about this inequity. Thus, the evidence points to some dishonesty on Hayes's part. Essentially, he knows that he is riding on Edwards's effort and had planned it that way.

Edwards could respond to this situation by either withdrawing from the partnership or changing the partnership agreement. One possible change would be to provide a partner salary based on the amount of client billings. This salary would be highly associated with the amount of revenue brought into the partnership, thus avoiding disputes associated with unequal contributions to the firm.

### Activity 11-2

A good solution to this problem would be to divide income in three steps:

- 1. Provide interest on each partner's capital balance.
- 2. Provide a monthly salary for each partner.
- 3. Divide the remainder according to a partnership formula.

With this approach, the return on capital and effort will be separately calculated in the income division formula before applying the percentage formula. Thus, Becker will receive a large interest distribution based on the large capital balance, while Morrow should receive a large salary distribution based on the larger service contribution. The return on capital and salary allowances should be based on prevailing market rates. If both partners are pleased with their return on capital and effort, then the remaining income could be divided equally among them.

Chapter 11

#### Activity 11–3

When developing an LLP, the partnership agreement is a critical part of establishing a business. Each party must consider the various incentives of each individual in the LLP. For example, in this case, one party, Kelly Herron, is providing all of the funding, while the other two parties are providing expertise and talent. This type of arrangement can create some natural conflicts because the interests of an investor might not be exactly the same as those operating the LLP. Specifically, you would want to advise Herron that not all matters should be settled by majority vote. Such a provision would allow the two non-investing members to vote as a block to the disadvantage of Herron. For example, the salaries for the two working members could be set by their vote, so that little profit would be left to be distributed. This would essentially keep Herron's return limited to the 10% preferred return. Herron should insist that salary allowances require unanimous approval of all members.

A second issue is the division of partnership income. The suggested agreement is for all the partners to share the remaining income, after the 10% preferred return, equally. Herron should be counselled to consider all aspects of the LLP contribution to determine if this division is equitable. There are many considerations including the amount of investment, risk of the venture, degree of expertise of noninvesting partners, and degree of exclusivity of noninvesting partners' effort contribution (unique skills or business connections, for example). Often, the simple assumption of equal division is not appropriate.

In addition, it is sometimes best to require even working partners to have an investment in the LLP, even if it is small, so that they are sensitive to the perspective of financial loss.

### Activity 11–4

- The partners can divide net income in any ratio that they wish. However, in the
  absence of an agreement, net income is divided equally between the partners.
  Therefore, Jasmine's conclusion was correct, but for the wrong reasons. In
  addition, note that the monthly withdrawals have no impact on the division of
  income.
- 2. When dividing income among partners, consideration should be given to skills and experience of the partners, amount of time spent in the operation, and investment of funds or assets.
- There are many possible answers regarding a recommended division of income. Students should consider Jasmine's capital investment, additional years of experience, and Dawn's additional time devoted to the business.