## CHAPTER 16—Solutions COSTING SYSTEMS: JOB ORDER COSTING

## Discussion Questions

DQ1. $\quad$ The accounting concept of cost measurement focuses on determining the amount of the cost. The accounting concept of cost recognition determines when a cost should be recorded. And, the matching concept compares revenues with the costs that were required to generate them.

DQ2. $\quad$ Job order costing recognizes production costs for specific jobs; process costing first traces these costs to processes, departments, or work cells and then assigns costs to products. Job order costing measures cost for each completed unit while process costing measures cost in terms of units completed during a specific period. Job order costing uses a single Work in Process Inventory account to summarize the cost of all jobs in process while process costing uses many Work in Process Inventory accounts, one for each process, department, or work cell. Job order costing is used by companies making special or unique products or services while process costing is used by companies making similar or identical products or in long production runs.

DQ3. $\quad$ The matching rule tracks or matches costs against the revenues they generate each period. Costs flow into and out of the inventory accounts adhering to this rule.

Direct Materials. When direct materials arrive, the cost of the items increases the Materials Inventory account. Following a materials request, the items requested are issued to the production departments. Direct materials costs then decrease the Materials Inventory account and increase the Work in Process Inventory account. In addition, the costs of the requested materials decrease the appropriate accounts in the materials subsidiary ledger and increase the appropriate job order cost cards.

Direct Labor. When incurred, direct labor costs increase the Work in Process Inventory account and, at the same time, increase the appropriate job order cost cards.

Overhead. An estimated amount of overhead increases the Work in Process Inventory as work is done. The completed cost of goods produced decrease Work in Process Inventory and increase Finished Goods Inventory. When goods are sold, their costs are matched against the revenues generated. Cost of Goods Sold increases and Finished Goods decreases.

DQ4. Estimated and actual overhead costs are recognized and measured using the four steps. The four-step process involves planning an estimated rate at which overhead costs will be assigned to products or services, assigning overhead costs at this predetermined rate to products or services during production, measuring actual overhead costs as they are incurred, and reconciling the difference between the actual and applied overhead costs.

Discussion Questions (Concluded)
DQ5. $\quad$ When managers plan, information about costs helps them develop budgets, establish prices, set sales goals, plan production volumes, estimate product or service unit costs, and determine human resource needs. Daily, managers use cost information to make decisions about controlling costs, managing the company's volume of activity, ensuring quality, and negotiating prices. When managers evaluate results, they analyze actual and targeted information to evaluate performance and make any necessary adjustments to their planning and decision-making strategies. When managers communicate with stakeholders, they use unit costs to determine inventory balances and the cost of goods or services sold for the financial statements. They also analyze internal reports that compare the organization's measures of actual and targeted performance to determine whether cost goals for products or services are being achieved.

## Short Exercises

## SE1. Job Order Versus Process Costing Systems

a. process
d. job order
b. job order
e. process
c. process
f. job order

SE2. Transactions in a Manufacturer's Job Order Costing System
a. Dr. Materials Inventory, Cr. Accounts Payable
b. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Payroll Payable
c. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Materials Inventory
d. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Overhead

SE3. Transactions in a Manufacturer's Job Order Costing System


SE4. Accounts for Job Order Costing

1. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Materials Inventory
2. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Payroll Payable
3. Dr. Materials Inventory, Cr. Accounts Payable
4. Dr. Overhead, Cr. Accounts Payable
5. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Overhead
6. Dr. Finished Goods Inventory, Cr. Work in Process Inventory

SE5. Job Order Cost Card


## SE6. Job Order Costing in a Service Organization

a. Dr. Accounts Receivable, Cr. Revenues from Landscaping Services
b. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Accounts Payable
c. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Cash
d. Dr. Work in Process Inventory, Cr. Cash

SE7. Job Order Costing with Cost-Plus Contracts

| Job Order: |  |  |  | A7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JOB ORDER COST CARD |  |  |  |  |
| Doremus Tax Service |  |  |  |  |
| Puyallup, Washington |  |  |  |  |
| Customer: | Arthur Farnsworth ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {atch: }}$ |  | Custom: | X |
| Specifications: | Annual Individual Tax Return |  |  |  |
| Date of Order: | 3/24/2014 | Date of Completion: |  | 4/8/2014 |
|  |  | Previous | Current | Total |
| Costs Charged to Job |  | Months | Month | Cost |
| Client interview: |  |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | \$ 10 | \$- | \$ 10 |
| Labor |  | 50 | 60 | 110 |
| Overhead ( | 40\% of interview labor costs ) | 20 | 24 | 44 |
| Totals |  | \$80 | \$84 | \$164 |
| Preparation of return: |  |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | \$ - | \$ 16 | \$ 16 |
| Computer time |  | - | 12 | 12 |
| Labor |  | - | 240 | 240 |
| Overhead ( | 50\% of preparation labor costs ) | - | 120 | 120 |
|  |  | \$ - | \$388 | \$388 |
| Delivery: |  |  |  |  |
| Postage |  | \$ - | \$ 8 | \$ 8 |
| Totals |  | \$ - | \$88 | \$88 |
| Cost Summary to Date |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Cost |  |  |
| Client interview |  | \$164 |  |  |
| Preparation of return |  | 388 |  |  |
| Delivery |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total |  | \$560 |  |  |
| Profit margin ( | 20\% of total cost ) | 112 |  |  |
| Job revenue |  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 672}}$ |  |  |

SE8. Calculation of Underapplied or Overapplied Overhead

| Applied overhead | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less actual overhead | $\underline{25,870}$ |
| Overapplied | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,130}}$ |

Since the overapplied amount is immaterial (less than 5\% of actual overhead), the Cost of Goods Sold account should be decreased by \$1,130 to adjust the balance to reflect actual overhead costs.

SE9. Computation of Overhead Rate


SE10. Allocation of Overhead to Production

Overhead costs applied:

|  | $\$ 4$ | per direct labor hour |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{x 1 , 2 0 0}}$ | direct labor hours |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 4,800}}$ |  |

SE11. Uses of Unit Cost Information
a. yes
b. yes
c. yes

Exercises: Set A

E1A. Product Costing

| a. | yes | f. | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | no | g. | no |
| c. | yes | h. | no |
| d. | yes | i. | yes |
| e. | yes | j. | yes |

E2A. Costing Systems: Industry Linkage

| a. | process | e. | job order |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | process | f. | process |
| c. | job order | g. | process |
| d. | job order | h. | process |

E3A. Costing Systems: Industry Linkage

| a. | process | e. | process |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | job order | f. | process |
| c. | process | g. | job order |
| d. | job order | h. | job order |

## E4A. Job Order Cost Flow

The cost flow of each of the three product cost elements and the Work in Process Inventory account can be described as follows:

Direct Materials. When direct materials arrive, the cost of the items is debited to the Materials Inventory account. Following a materials request, the items requested are issued to the production departments. Direct materials costs are then transferred from the Materials Inventory account to the Work in Process Inventory account. In addition, the costs of the requested materials are subtracted from the appropriate accounts in the materials subsidiary ledger and added to the appropriate job order cost cards.

Direct Labor. When incurred, direct labor costs are charged to the Work in Process Inventory account and, at the same time, to the appropriate job order cost cards.

Overhead. All overhead costs, including indirect materials and indirect labor, are charged to the Overhead account.

Overhead is applied to production using a predetermined overhead rate. Overhead applied is debited to the Work in Process Inventory account and credited to the Overhead account. Job order cost cards are updated at the same time to reflect overhead charges.

Work in Process Inventory. All product costs flow through the Work in Process Inventory account and, at the same time, are accumulated on job order cost cards. When an order is completed, its total cost (as reflected on the job order cost card) is transferred from the Work in Process Inventory account to the Finished Goods Inventory account. The job order cost card is completed, pulled from the Work in Process Inventory subsidiary ledger, and used to update the Finished Goods Inventory subsidiary ledger.

E5A. Work in Process Inventory: T Account Analysis
1.

| Materials Inventory |  |  |  | Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg. bal. | 40,000 | (a) | 28,800 | Beg. bal. | 9,000 |  |  |
| (c) | 8,400 | (c) | 8,400 | (a) | 28,800 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (b) | 8,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (d) | 9,600 |  |  |
| Overhead |  |  |  | Payroll Payable |  |  |  |
| (b) | 2,600 | (d)* | 9,600 |  |  | (b) | 10,600 |
| (c) | 8,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (c) | 8,400 |  |  |  |  |

*\$8,000 $\times 120 \%=\$ 9,600$
2.

Work in Process Inventory account:

| Beginning balance, July 1 | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Debits during July: |  |
| Direct materials | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 0 0}$ |
| Direct labor | $\mathbf{8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Overhead | $\mathbf{9 , 6 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 , 4 0 0}$ |
| Less transfers to Finished Goods Inventory | $\underline{45,000}$ |
| Ending balance, July 31 | $\underline{\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 4 0 0}}$ |

E6A. T Account Analysis with Unknowns

| JUNE |  |  |  |  | JULY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  | Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Beg. bal. | 2,939 |  | Requests: |  |  | Beg. bal. | 3,014 |  | Requests: |  |
| Purchases | 5,100 |  | Direct materials | 5,025 |  | chases | 6,216 |  | (g) Direct materials | 6,602 |
| End. bal. | 3,014 |  |  |  |  | bal. | 2,628 |  |  |  |
| Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  | Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg. bal. | 8,605 |  | (c) Completed | 15,701 | (f) | Beg. bal. | 8,639 |  | Completed | 21,861 |
| Direct materials | 5,025 |  |  |  | (g) | Direct materials | 6,602 |  |  |  |
| Direct labor | 4,760 |  |  |  |  | ct labor | 5,540 |  |  |  |
| (b) Overhead | 5,950 | * |  |  | (h) | Overhead | 6,925 |  |  |  |
| (d) End. bal. | 8,639 |  |  |  | (j) | End. bal. | 5,845 |  |  |  |
| Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  | Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg. bal. | 7,764 |  | Cost of goods sold | 16,805 |  | . bal. | 6,660 |  | (i) Cost of goods sold | 25,006 |
| (c) Completed during period | 15,701 |  |  |  |  | mpleted during period | 21,861 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 6,660 |  |  |  |  | bal. | 3,515 |  |  |  |
| \$4,760 $\times$ 125\% = \$5,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** \$5,540 $\times 125 \%=\$ 6,925$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

E7A. T Account Analysis with Unknowns


E8A. Job Order Costing: T Account Analysis

1. and 2.


E9A. Job Order Cost Card and Computation of Product Unit Cost



E12A. Computation of Product Unit Cost
1.


|  | Job Order Cost Cards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Job B-2 | Job B-3 | Job B-4 |
| Direct materials: |  |  |  |
| Fabric Q | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,800 | \$17,600 |
| Fabric Z | 2,000 | 2,200 | 13,400 |
| Fabric YB | 5,000 | 6,000 | 2,000 |
| Total | \$8,000 | \$10,000 | \$33,000 |
| Direct labor: |  |  |  |
| Garment maker | \$ 4,500 | \$ 8,000 | \$10,200 |
| Layout | 2,500 | 7,000 | 9,800 |
| Packaging | 3,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Total | \$10,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000 |
| Overhead: |  |  |  |
| 150\% of direct labor costs | \$15,000 | \$30,000 | \$37,500 |
| Total cost | \$33,000 | \$60,000 | \$95,500 |


| 2. | Units produced | $\div \frac{\div 500}{}$ | $\div 1,200$ | $\div$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Product unit cost | $\underline{\underline{\$ 66.00}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 50.00}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 191.00}}$ |

E13A. Job Order Costing in a Service Organization

| JOB ORDER COST CARD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cloud Storage Services |  |  |  |
| Customer: |  | Jayson Holiday |  |
| Job Order No.: |  | XXYQ |  |
| Contract Type: |  | Cost-Plus |  |
| Type of Service: |  | Annual Internet Storage |  |
| Date of Completion: |  | November 6, 2014 |  |
| Costs Charged to Job |  |  | Total Cost |
| Software installation services: |  |  |  |
|  | Installation labor |  | \$30 |
|  | Service overhead ( | 100\% * of installation labor costs ) | 30 |
| Total <br> $\$ 60$ |  |  |  |
| Internet services: |  |  |  |
|  | Internet storage |  | \$10 |
|  | Service overhead ( | 200\% of Internet storage costs ) | 20 |
|  | Total |  | \$30 |
| \$30 / \$30 = 100\% |  |  |  |
| Cost Summary to Date |  |  | Total Cost |
|  | Software installation services |  | \$ 60 |
|  | Internet services |  | 30 |
|  | Total |  | \$ 90 |
|  | Profit margin ( 60\% of total cost ) |  | 54 |
|  | Contract revenue |  | \$144 |

E14A. Computation of Overhead Rate

1. and 2.

|  | (1) |  | (2) | (3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Past Year |  | Next Year's | Next Year$(1 \times 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Indirect materials and supplies, repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and maintenance, outside service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| contracts, indirect labor, factory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| supervision, factory insurance, heat, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| light, and power costs | \$222,000 |  | 110\% |  | ,200 |  |
| Depreciation, machinery | 85,000 |  | 112\% |  | ,200 |  |
| Property taxes and miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| overhead | 13,000 |  | 120\% |  | ,600 |  |
| Totals | \$320,000 |  |  |  | ,000 |  |
| Divided by machine hours | 40,000 |  |  |  | ,000 | * |
| Predetermined overhead rates | \$ 8.00 | IMH |  | \$ | 7.10 | /MH |

*40,000 $+\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0 ~ = ~ 5 0 , 0 0 0 ~}$

E15A. Computation and Application of Overhead Rate

1. 1 \$900,000 $\times 125 \%=\$ 1,125,000$
2. Increase in labor hours:

| 75,000 | hours | $\times$ | $120 \%$ | $=$ | $\underline{\underline{90,000}}$ | hours |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predetermined overhead rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,125,000$ | $I$ | 90,000 | hours $=$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 12.50}}$ | per direct labor hour |  |


c. Since the underapplied overhead amount is immaterial, the Cost of Goods Sold account will be increased to reflect actual overhead costs.

Note to Instructor: Solutions for Exercises: Set B are provided separately on the Instructor's Resource CD and website.
Problems
P1. T Account Analysis with Unknowns

$\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \$ 53,200 / 80 \%=\$ 66,500 \\ &\end{aligned}$

P2. Job Order Costing: T Account Analysis
1.

| Materials Inventory |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 215,400 | $1 / 4$ | 231,300 |
| $1 / 2$ | 49,500 | $1 / 21$ | 246,150 |
| $1 / 19$ | 218,000 |  |  |
| End. bal. | 5,450 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1 / 4$ | 193,200 | $1 / 31$ | 855,990 |
| $1 / 15$ | 120,000 |  |  |
| $1 / 15$ | 108,000 |  |  |
| $1 / 21$ | 214,750 |  |  |
| $1 / 31$ | 132,000 |  |  |
| $1 / 31$ | 118,800 |  |  |
| End. bal. | 30,760 |  |  |


| Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1 / 31$ | 855,990 | $1 / 31$ | 824,520 |
| End. bal. | 31,470 |  |  |


| Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 4$ | 38,100 | $1 / 15$ | $108,000^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| $1 / 10$ | 12,100 | $1 / 31$ | $118,800^{* *}$ |  |  |  |
| $1 / 15$ | 60,620 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 21$ | 31,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 31$ | 62,240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 31$ | 22,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Receivable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 31$ | 996,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 996,800 |  |  |  |  |  |



P2. Job Order Costing: T Account Analysis (Continued)



P3. Job Order Cost Flow
1., 3., and 4.

| Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beg. bal. | 21,360 | $6 / 6$ | 37,240 |  |  |
| $6 / 4$ | 33,120 |  | $6 / 23$ | 38,960 |  |
| $6 / 16$ | 28,600 |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 22$ | 31,920 |  |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 38,800 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Beg. bal. | 17,120 |  | $6 / 30$ | 183,000 |  |
| $6 / 30$ | 185,073 |  |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 19,193 |  |  |  |  |


| Accounts Receivable |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 / 30$ | 320,000 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 320,000 |  |  |  |


| Sales |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $6 / 30$ | 320,000 |


| Overhead |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $6 / 15$ | 30,784 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  |  |  | $6 / 29$ | 33,748 |

## \$205,484 - \$20,411 = \$185,073

| $\mathbf{b}$ | Ending Work in Process Inventory: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job 24-A | $\$ 4,560$ |  |
| Job 24-B | 4,666 |  |
| Job 24-C | 6,035 |  |
| Job 24-D | $\mathbf{5 , 1 5 0}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\underline{\$ 20,411}$ |

${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\$ 23,680 \times 130 \%=\$ 30,784$
d $\$ 25,960 \times 130 \%=\$ 33,748$

P3. Job Order Cost Flow (Concluded)
2. and 3.

Cost of ending Work in Process Inventory:

|  |  | Direct | Direct |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job No. |  | Materials | Labor | Overhead | Total |  |
| 24-A |  | \$1,593 | \$1,290 | \$1,677 | \$ 4,560 |  |
| 24-B |  | 1,492 | 1,380 | 1,794 | 4,666 |  |
| 24-C |  | 1,987 | 1,760 | 2,288 | 6,035 |  |
| 24-D |  | 1,608 | 1,540 | 2,002 | 5,150 |  |
|  |  | \$6,680 | \$5,970 | \$7,761 | \$20,411 |  |
| Costs of units completed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance, Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 15,112 |
| Cost of direct materials, direct labor, and overhead added during period |  |  |  |  |  | 190,372 |
| Total costs included in Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |  | \$205,484 |
| Less ending Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |  | 20,411 |
| Cost of goods completed and transferred |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,073 |
|  | 4. Job 24-A: |  |  |  |  |  |
| July beginning balance July costs: |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,560 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor <br> Overhead (130\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 960 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,248 |
|  | Total cost |  |  |  |  | \$6,768 |
| Product unit cost: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$6,768 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job 24-C: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July beginning balance |  |  |  |  | \$6,035 |
|  | July costs: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct labor |  |  |  |  | 1,610 |
|  | Overhead (130\%) |  |  |  |  | 2,093 |
|  | Total cost |  |  |  |  | \$9,738 |
|  | Product unit cost: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$9,738 | 1900 pairs = | \$10.82 |  |  |  |



P5. Allocation of Overhead

| Direct materials cost | \$36,750 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost of purchased parts | 21,300 |
| Direct labor cost: |  |
| \$16.00 |  |
| +220 | 3,520 |
| Overhead cost: |  |
| \$3,520 |  |
| $\times 270 \%$ | 9,504 |
| Total costs assigned to the Grater order | \$71,074 |


| P6. T Account Analysis with Unknowns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JULY |  |  |  |  | AUGUST |  |  |  |  |  |
| Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  | Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg. bal. | 52,000 |  | Requests | 77,000 |  | Beg. bal. | 27,000 |  | (h) Requests | 50,000 |
| (a) Purchases | 52,000 |  |  |  | Pur | chases | 31,000 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 27,000 |  |  |  |  | bal. | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  | Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg. bal. | 24,000 |  | (c) Completed | 164,000 |  | Beg. bal. | 38,564 |  | Completed | 167,000 |
| Direct materials | 77,000 |  |  |  | (h) | Direct materials | 50,000 |  |  |  |
| (b) Direct labor | 48,364 | * |  |  |  | ct labor | 44,000 |  |  |  |
| Overhead | 53,200 |  |  |  |  | Overhead | 48,400 | ** |  |  |
| (d) End. bal. | 38,564 |  |  |  |  | End. bal. | 13,964 |  |  |  |
| Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  | Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg. bal. | 36,000 |  | Cost of goods sold | 188,000 |  | Beg. bal. | 12,000 |  | (j) Cost of goods sold | 160,000 |
| (c) Completed | 164,000 |  |  |  |  | mpleted | 167,000 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 12,000 |  |  |  | End | bal. | 19,000 |  |  |  |
| * \$53,200 / 110\% = \$48,364 rounded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** $\$ 44,000 \times 110 \%=\$ 48,400$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




P7. Job Order Costing: T Account Analysis (Concluded)


P8. Job Order Cost Flow
1., 3., and 4.

| Materials Inventory |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beg. bal. | 27,450 |  | $2 / 4$ | 9,080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 / 6$ | 7,200 |  | $2 / 13$ | 5,940 |
| $2 / 12$ | 8,110 |  | $2 / 25$ | 7,600 |
| $2 / 24$ | 5,890 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 26,030 |  |  |  |


| Finished Goods Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Beg. bal. | 19,200 |  | $2 / 28$ | 89,000 |  |
| $2 / 28$ | 76,470 |  |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 6,670 |  |  |  |  |


| Accounts Receivable |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 / 28$ | 152,400 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 152,400 |  |  |  |


| Sales |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $2 / 28$ | 152,400 |
|  |  |  | End. bal. | 152,400 |


| Work in Process Inventory |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Beg. bal. | 22,900 | $2 / 28$ | 76,470 | a |
| $2 / 4$ | 9,080 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 13$ | 5,940 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 14$ | 13,750 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 14$ | 19,250 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 25$ | 7,600 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 28$ | 13,230 |  |  |  |
| $2 / 28$ | 18,522 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 33,802 | b |  |  |


| Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $2 / 14$ | 19,250 |  |  |
|  |  | $2 / 28$ | 18,522 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | End. bal. | 37,772 |  |


| Payroll Payable |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $2 / 14$ | 13,750 |  |
|  |  | $2 / 28$ | 13,230 |  |
|  | End. bal. |  |  |  |
| Cost of Goods Sold |  |  |  |  |
| 2/28 | 89,000 |  |  |  |
| End. bal. | 89,000 |  |  |  |



P8. Job Order Cost Flow (Concluded)
2. and 3.

| Cost of ending Work in Process Inventory: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Direct | Direct |  |  |
| Job No. | Materials | Labor | Overhead | Total |
| AJ-10 | $\$ 3,220$ | $\$ 1,810$ | $\$ 2,534$ | $\$ 7,564$ |
| AJ-14 | 3,880 | 2,110 | 2,954 | 8,944 |
| AJ-15 | 2,980 | 1,640 | 2,296 | 6,916 |
| AJ-16 | $\underline{4,690}$ | $\underline{2,370}$ | $\underline{3,318}$ | $\underline{10,378}$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 14,770}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 7,930}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 11,102}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 3,802}}$ |


| Costs of units completed: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Beginning balance, Work in Process Inventory | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 9 0 0}$ |
| Cost of direct materials, direct labor, and overhead added during period | $\mathbf{8 7 , 3 7 2}$ |
| Total costs included in Work in Process Inventory | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 0 , 2 7 2}$ |
| Less ending Work in Process Inventory | $\mathbf{3 3 , 8 0 2}$ |
| Cost of goods completed and transferred | $\mathbf{\$ 7 6 , 4 7 0}$ |

4. Job AJ-10:

| March beginning balance |  |  |  | \$ 7,564 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March costs: |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor |  |  |  | 720 |
| Overhead (140\%) |  |  |  | 1,008 |
| Total cost |  |  |  | \$ 9,292 |
| Product unit cost: |  |  |  |  |
| \$9,292 | 1 | 40 units = | \$232.30 |  |

Job AJ-14:

| March beginning balance |  |  |  | \$ 8,944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March costs: |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor |  |  |  | 1,140 |
| Overhead (140\%) |  |  |  | 1,596 |
| Total cost |  |  |  | \$11,680 |
| Product unit cost: |  |  |  |  |
| \$11,680 | 1 | 50 units = | \$233.60 |  |

P9. Allocation of Overhead


## Predetermined overhead rate for this year:

$\$ 229,290$ / 45,858 machine hours $=\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$ per machine hour
2.

|  | Machine | Predetermined | Overhead |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Overhead Rate | Applied |
| $\mathbf{H}-142$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 4 0}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\$ 39,200$ |
| $\mathbf{H}-164$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 6 0}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}-175$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 0 0}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | 40,500 |
| $\mathbf{H - 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 8 0}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | 53,400 |
| $\mathbf{H}-218$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 1 0}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 5 5 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}-304$ | $\underline{2,460}$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 , 3 0 0}}$ |
| Totals | $\underline{\underline{46,650}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 233,250}}$ |

3. Actual overhead incurred this year

| $\$ 234,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 233,250 |
| $\$ \quad 750$ |

Increase Cost of Goods Sold by $\$ 750$ to reflect actual overhead costs.
4. $\quad$ The overhead rate was computed at the beginning of the year. During the year, as products were produced, the overhead rate was used to apply overhead to production. At year end, the Overhead account balance was computed, determined to be underapplied, and closed to the Cost of Goods Sold account so that it would reflect the actual overhead costs of the period.

P10. Allocation of Overhead

| Cost of direct materials | \$17,450 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cost of purchased parts | 14,800 |
| Direct labor costs: |  |
| \$16.50 |  |
| $\times 140$ hours | 2,310 |
| Overhead cost: |  |
| \$2,310 |  |
| + 240\% | 5,544 |
| Total costs assigned to the Kent order | \$40,104 |

C1. Business Communication: Product Costing Systems

1. a. The memo is addressed to Jordan Smith, the president of Hawk Manufacturing. In general, the memo should be thorough, yet brief. The writer should be aware of the president's preferences and try to meet her standards. Presidents are usually too busy to read detailed, lengthy reports.
b. The purposes of the memo are to identify sources of waste, to develop performance measures to account for the waste, and to eliminate the current costs associated with such waste.
c. Information needed: The writer needs to know information about the sources of waste, specific performance measures that can account for the waste, and the estimated costs associated with such waste.

Obtaining the information: Information about specific performance measures can be provided by the Production and Engineering Design departments. The Production Department can provide information about work that has had to be redone: the tasks performed, the individuals involved, the length of time required, and the quantity and types of materials wasted. The Engineering Design Department can provide information about previous work involving the redesign of products: the tasks performed, the individuals involved, the length of time required, and the changes required in materials or changes required in materials or production processes.

The Accounting Department can provide some information about the estimated costs associated with the waste. However, the information in the problem has limited value. It includes aggregated amounts that provide little information about individual sources of waste.

Suggested performance measures for the two sources of waste:

| Waste | Performance Measures |
| :--- | :--- |
| Redoing work in the Production | Number of labor hours or machine hours |
| Department | required to redo the work |
|  | Number of parts reworked |
| Redesigning products in the Engineering | Number of requests for redesign |
| Design Department | Number of engineering labor hours related |
|  | to redesigning products that did not |
|  | meet customer specifications |

These nonfinancial, quantitative performance measures can be multiplied by a cost to estimate the total cost of waste. The manager, working with an accountant, can design a system to identify the appropriate cost basis for each measure, such as the cost per labor hour or machine hour to adjust work and the cost per request for redesign or cost per engineering hour spent on product designs that do not support customers' specifications.

C1. Business Communication: Product Costing Systems (Concluded)
Accounting information: The accounting information provided in the problem is not sufficient for the memo because the current product costing system does not isolate costs by source. As a result, it is impossible to identify the costs associated with activities that are wasteful and non-value-adding. The manager, working with an accountant, can design a system to capture this information.
d. The president has allowed two weeks to complete the work. Because the accounting system is inadequate, a significant portion of that time will be needed to gather the estimated costs associated with sources of waste.
2. Outline of the sections in the memo:

| MEMORANDUM |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Jordan Smith |
| From: | Student's name |
| Date: | Today's date |
| Topic: | Recommendations for reducing waste in production and engineering design |
|  |  |
| I. | Introduction: Purpose of the memo |
| II. | Description of two sources of waste |
| III. | Recommended performance measures to account for the waste |
| IV. | Summary of estimated costs associated with the waste |

## C2. Group Activity: Job Order Costing

This assignment is designed to develop students' interviewing, data-gathering, and writing skills. Students will identify similarities and differences in the processes, documentation, and recordkeeping practices of small businesses. Some interviewees will be very knowledgeable about the costs of running their businesses. Others will be less familiar with these costs. It is helpful for students to recognize the variations that exist in business practices.

Group students based on the type of business they have selected. Discussion within the groups should focus on the questions in part 5 of the assignment (estimating costs and selling prices, differences in documentation and recordkeeping practices, and students' opinions about the effectiveness of the businesses' accounting processes). Select a few groups to share the main points of their discussion with the class.

C3. Ethical Dilemma: Costing Procedures and Ethics
This is a case of defrauding the federal government. Laws have been broken in this scenario. Roger Parker should report the incident to his superior. He should also tell Harris Johnson to correct the pricing error as soon as possible. Parker has the obligation to work toward a successful solution to the problem. Otherwise, he could face charges as a co-conspirator. If he keeps quiet about an illegal transaction, he becomes a party to that transaction.

## C4. Conceptual Understanding: Role of Cost Information in Software Development

There are several reasons for using economic value instead of developer labor cost in the "good enough" measure of performance for software development companies. First, these companies develop products with very short product lives because improvements in computer chips and hardware occur so rapidly. The ability to beat competitors by bringing new software programs to market quickly means the company has a better opportunity of capturing the market demand and making the sale. Second, because software developers' salaries are usually tied to the success of the company's products through employee stock incentives and bonuses, the true cost of salaries cannot be determined until after the product has been on the market. Finally, in emerging companies based on the Internet, it is not a company's profit margin that drives investor interest, but rather a company's growth potential. Thus, the cost standards used by established manufacturing companies, where the time from idea to market is not crucial to a product's success, where labor cost can easily be measured, and where a company's profitability is a good indicator of investor interest, do not apply.

C5. Interpreting Management Reports: Nonfinancial Data

1. The reduced lead time and increased productivity indicate that the quality of the manufacturing process improved. The quality of the manufactured engine parts cannot be assessed with these measures. Other performance measures are needed to determine the product's quality.
2. To compete effectively, Hawk must be prepared to offer a lower selling price. Hawk could do this and still remain profitable if some of its costs were reduced. Reduced manufacturing costs would allow Hawk to lower its selling price while still remaining profitable.
3. $\quad$ No. Since the structure of the manufacturing process did not change significantly, the product costing system would remain unchanged.

Although the product costing system remains unchanged, the amount of costs accumulated in the product costing system will change because the manufacturing process improved. Thus, the product unit cost will change.
4. The total manufacturing cost per engine part would decrease because:
a. costs of storing inventory will decrease because the inventory level has decreased
b. labor and overhead costs will decrease slightly because manufacturing time has decreased and productivity has increased

## C6. Continuing Case: Cookie Company

This is a fun class activity that takes little class time and generates a lot of course positives.

