# Chapter 2
# Introduction to Optimization & Linear Programming

1. If an LP model has more than one optimal solution it has an *infinite* number of alternate optimal solutions. In Figure 2.8, the two extreme points at (122, 78) and (174, 0) are alternate optimal solutions, but there are an infinite number of alternate optimal solutions along the edge connecting these extreme points. This is true of all LP models with alternate optimal solutions.

2. There is no guarantee that the optimal solution to an LP problem will occur at an integer-valued extreme point of the feasible region. (An exception to this general rule is discussed in Chapter 5 on networks).

3. We can graph an inequality as if they were an equality because the condition imposed by the equality corresponds to the boundary line (or most extreme case) of the inequality.

4. The objectives are equivalent. For any values of X_1 and X_2, the absolute value of the objectives are the same. Thus, maximizing the value of the first objective is equivalent to minimizing the value of the second objective.

5. a. linear
   b. nonlinear
   c. linear, can be re-written as: $4 X_1 - .3333 X_2 = 75$
   d. linear, can be re-written as: $2.1 X_1 + 1.1 X_2 - 3.9 X_3 \leq 0$
   e. nonlinear

6.

7.

X_2

20

15

(0,8) obj = 40
(optimal solution)

(7.5, 3)
obj = 30

(10,0)
obj = 20

increasing
objective

$6X_1+5X_2=60$

$3X_1+6X_2=48$

$2X_1+3X_2=24$

10

5

0

0   5   10   15   20   25 X_1

8.

X_2

20

(0, 15) obj = 300

15

(0, 12) obj = 240

10

(6.67, 5.33) obj =140

5

(11.67, 3.33) obj = 125
(optimal solution)

0   5   10   15   20   25 X_1

9.

X_2

200 —

(0,100)
obj=200

$3X_1+3X_2=480$ (redundant constraint)
$6X_1+3X_2=480$
$3X_1+3X_2=300$

(60,40) obj = 260
(optimal solution)

(80,0)
obj=240

X_1

10.

X_2

(0,10)
obj = 30

(0,4)
obj = 12

(3.64, 1.09) obj = 10.55
(optimal solution)

$5X_1 + 6X_2 = 60$

(8,0)
obj = 16

(12,0)
obj = 24

$4X_1 + 5X_2 = 20$

$2X_1 + 1X_2 = 3$

$2X_1 + 8X_2 = 16$

obj = 30
obj = 24
obj = 18
obj = 10.55

decreasing
objective

X_1

11.

No feasible
solution exists

$X_1+X_2=80$

$2X_1+3X_2=120$

$4X_1+3X_2=140$

12.

$(7,7)$ obj = 154
(optimal solution)
(only feasible point)

$6X_1+8X_2=98$

$X_1+X_2=14$

$8X_1+6X_2=98$

13. X_1 = number of softballs to produce, X_2 = number of baseballs to produce

      MAX $6 X_1 + 4.5 X_2$

      ST $5 X_1 + 4 X_2 \le 6000$

              $6 X_1 + 3 X_2 \le 5400$

              $4 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 4000$

              $2.5 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 3500$

              $1 X_1 + 1 X_2 \le 1500$

              $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

14. X_1 = number of His chairs to produce, X_2 = number of Hers chairs to produce

      MAX $10 X_1 + 12 X_2$

      ST $4 X_1 + 8 X_2 \le 1200$

              $8 X_1 + 4 X_2 \le 1056$

              $2 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 400$

              $4 X_1 + 4 X_2 \le 900$

              $1 X_1 - 0.5 X_2 \ge 0$

              $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

15. X_1 = number of propane grills to produce, X_2 = number of electric grills to produce

MAX $100 X_1 + 80 X_2$
ST $2 X_1 + 1 X_2 \le 2400$
       $4 X_1 + 5 X_2 \le 6000$
       $2 X_1 + 3 X_2 \le 3300$
       $1 X_1 + 1 X_2 \le 1500$
       $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

16. X_1 = number of generators, X_2 = number of alternators

MAX $250 X_1 + 150 X_2$
ST $2 X_1 + 3 X_2 \le 260$
      $1 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 140$
      $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

17. X_1 = number of generators, X_2 = number of alternators

MAX $250 X_1 + 150 X_2$
ST $2 X_1 + 3 X_2 \le 260$
      $1 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 140$
      $X_1 \ge 20$
      $X_2 \ge 20$

d. No, the feasible region would not increase so the solution would not change -- you'd just have extra (unused) wiring capacity.

18. X_1 = proportion of beef in the mix, X_2 = proportion of pork in the mix

MIN $.85\,X_1 + .65\,X_2$
ST $1X_1 + 1\,X_2 = 1$
       $0.2\,X_1 + 0.3\,X_2 \le 0.25$
       $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

19.   T= number of TV ads to run, M = number of magazine ads to run

MIN $500\,T + 750\,P$
ST $3T + 1P \ge 14$
       $-1T + 4P \ge 4$
       $0T + 2P \ge 3$
       $T, P \ge 0$

20. X_1 = # of TV spots, X_2 = # of magazine ads

MAX $15 X_1 + 25 X_2$ (profit)
ST $5 X_1 + 2 X_2 \leq 100$ (ad budget)
$5 X_1 + 0 X_2 \leq 70$ (TV limit)
$0 X_1 + 2 X_2 \leq 50$ (magazine limit)
$X_1, X_2 \geq 0$

21. X_1 = tons of ore purchased from mine 1, X_2 = tons of ore purchased from mine 2

MIN $90 X_1 + 120 X_2$ (cost)
ST $0.2 X_1 + 0.3 X_2 \geq 8$ (copper)
$0.2 X_1 + 0.25 X_2 \geq 6$ (zinc)
$0.15 X_1 + 0.1 X_2 \geq 5$ (magnesium)
$X_1, X_2 \geq 0$

22.   R = number of Razors produced, Z = number of Zoomers produced

MAX $70 R + 40 Z$
ST $R + Z \leq 700$
        $R - Z \leq 300$
        $2 R + 1 Z \leq 900$
        $3 R + 4 Z \leq 2400$
        $R, Z \geq 0$

23.   P = number of Presidential desks produced, S = number of Senator desks produced

MAX $103.75 P + 97.85 S$
ST $30 P + 24 S \leq 15{,}000$
        $1 P + 1 S \leq 600$
        $5 P + 3 S \leq 3000$
        $P, S \geq 0$

24. X_1 = acres planted in watermelons, X_2 = acres planted in cantaloupes

MAX $256 X_1 + 284.5 X_2$

ST $50 X_1 + 75 X_2 \leq 6000$

$X_1 + X_2 \leq 100$

$X_1, X_2 \geq 0$

(0, 80) obj = 22760

(60, 40) obj =26740
(optimal solution)

(100, 0) obj = 25600

25.   D = number of doors produced, W = number of windows produced

MAX $500 D + 400 W$

ST $1 D + 0.5 W \leq 40$

$0.5 D + 0.75 W \leq 40$

$0.5 D + 1 W \leq 60$

$D, W \geq 0$

(0,80)

(0,60)

(0,53.33)

(20, 40)
optimal solution
profit = $26,000

500 D + 400 W = 10,000

(40,0)

(80,0)

(120,0)

26. X_1 = number of desktop computers, X_2 = number of laptop computers

MAX $600\ X_1 + 900\ X_2$
ST $2\ X_1 + 3\ X_2 \le 300$
        $X_1 \le 80$
        $X_2 \le 75$
        $X_1, X_2 \ge 0$

Case 2-1: For The Lines They Are A-Changin'

1. 200 pumps, 1566 labor hours, 2712 feet of tubing.

2. Pumps are a binding constraint and should be increased to 207, if possible. This would increase profits by $1,400 to $67,500.

3. Labor is a binding constraint and should be increased to 1800, if possible. This would increase profits by $3,900 to $70,000.

4. Tubing is a non-binding constraint. They've already got more than they can use and don't need any more.

5. 9 to 8: profit increases by $3,050
    8 to 7: profit increases by $850
    7 to 6: profit increases by $0

6. 6 to 5: profit increases by $975
    5 to 4: profit increases by $585
    4 to 3: profit increases by $390

7. 12 to 13: profit changes by $0
    13 to 14: profit decreases by $760
    14 to 15: profit decreases by $1,440

8. 16 to 17: profit changes by $0
   17 to 18: profit changes by $0
   18 to 19: profit decreases by $400

9. The profit on Aqua-Spas can vary between $300 and $450 without changing the optimal solution.

10. The profit on Hydro-Luxes can vary between $233.33 and $350 without changing the optimal solution.

Spreadsheet Modeling & Decision Analysis

A Practical Introduction to Business Analytics

8th edition

Cliff T. Ragsdale

Chapter 2

Introduction to Optimization and Linear Programming

Introduction

- We all face decision about how to use limited resources such as:
  - Oil in the earth
  - Land for dumps
  - Time
  - Money
  - Workers

Mathematical Programming...

- MP is a field of management science that finds the optimal, or most efficient, way of using limited resources to achieve the objectives of an individual of a business.
- *a.k.a.* Optimization

Applications of Optimization

- Determining Product Mix

- Manufacturing

- Routing and Logistics

- Financial Planning

Characteristics of Optimization Problems

- Decisions

- Constraints

- Objectives

General Form of an Optimization Problem

MAX (or MIN): $f_0(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$

Subject to: $\quad f_1(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) <= b_1$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_k(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) >= b_k$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_m(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = b_m$$

Note: If all the functions in an optimization are linear, the problem is a Linear Programming (LP) problem

Linear Programming (LP) Problems

MAX (or MIN): $c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2 + \ldots + c_n X_n$

Subject to: $a_{11} X_1 + a_{12} X_2 + \ldots + a_{1n} X_n <= b_1$

$$:$$

$$a_{k1} X_1 + a_{k2} X_2 + \ldots + a_{kn} X_n >= b_k$$

$$:$$

$$a_{m1} X_1 + a_{m2} X_2 + \ldots + a_{mn} X_n = b_m$$

An Example LP Problem

Blue Ridge Hot Tubs produces two types of hot tubs: Aqua-Spas & Hydro-Luxes.

	Aqua-Spa	Hydro-Lux
Pumps	1	1
Labor	9 hours	6 hours
Tubing	12 feet	16 feet
Unit Profit	$350	$300

There are 200 pumps, 1566 hours of labor, and 2880 feet of tubing available.

5 Steps In Formulating LP Models:

1. Understand the problem.

2. Identify the decision variables.

   X_1=number of Aqua-Spas to produce

   X_2=number of Hydro-Luxes to produce

3. State the objective function as a linear combination of the decision variables.

   MAX: $350X_1 + 300X_2$

5 Steps In Formulating LP Models
(continued)

4. State the constraints as linear combinations of the decision variables.

$$1X_1 + 1X_2 <= 200 \qquad \} \text{ pumps}$$

$$9X_1 + 6X_2 <= 1566 \qquad \} \text{ labor}$$

$$12X_1 + 16X_2 <= 2880 \quad \} \text{ tubing}$$

5. Identify any upper or lower bounds on the decision variables.

$$X_1 >= 0$$

$$X_2 >= 0$$

LP Model for Blue Ridge Hot Tubs

MAX: $350X_1 + 300X_2$

S.T.: $1X_1 + 1X_2 <= 200$

$9X_1 + 6X_2 <= 1566$

$12X_1 + 16X_2 <= 2880$

$X_1 >= 0$

$X_2 >= 0$

Solving LP Problems: An Intuitive Approach

- Idea: Each Aqua-Spa (X_1) generates the highest unit profit ($350), so let's make as many of them as possible!
- How many would that be?
  - Let $X_2 = 0$
    - 1st constraint: $1X_1 <= 200$
    - 2nd constraint: $9X_1 <= 1566$ or $X_1 <= 174$
    - 3rd constraint: $12X_1 <= 2880$ or $X_1 <= 240$
- If $X_2 = 0$, the maximum value of X_1 is 174 and the total profit is $350*174 + $300*0 = $60,900
- This solution is *feasible*, but is it *optimal?*
- ***No!***

Solving LP Problems:
A Graphical Approach

- The constraints of an LP problem defines its feasible region.

- The best point in the feasible region is the optimal solution to the problem.

- For LP problems with 2 variables, it is easy to plot the feasible region and find the optimal solution.

Plotting the First Constraint

X_2

X_1

(0, 200)

boundary line of pump constraint

$X_1 + X_2 = 200$

(200, 0)

Plotting the Second Constraint

X_2

(0, 261)

250

boundary line of labor constraint

$9X_1 + 6X_2 = 1566$

200

150

100

50

(174, 0)

0

0   50   100   150   200   250 X_1

Plotting the Third Constraint

X_2

250

(0, 180)

200

150

boundary line of tubing constraint

$12X_1 + 16X_2 = 2880$

100

50

Feasible Region

0

(240, 0)

0   50   100   150   200   250 X_1

Plotting A Level Curve of the Objective Function

(0, 116.67)

objective function

$350X_1 + 300X_2 = 35000$

(100, 0)

A Second Level Curve of the Objective Function

X_2

250 —

200 —

(0, 175)

objective function
$350X_1 + 300X_2 = 35000$

150 —

objective function
$350X_1 + 300X_2 = 52500$

100 —

50 —

(150, 0)

0 —

| 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | X_1 |

Using A Level Curve to Locate the Optimal Solution

X_2

250

200

150

100

50

0

objective function
$350X_1 + 300X_2 = 35000$

optimal solution

objective function
$350X_1 + 300X_2 = 52500$

0   50   100   150   200   250 X_1

Calculating the Optimal Solution

- The optimal solution occurs where the "pumps" and "labor" constraints intersect.
- This occurs where:

$$X_1 + X_2 = 200 \qquad\qquad (1)$$
$$\text{and} \quad 9X_1 + 6X_2 = 1566 \qquad (2)$$

- From (1) we have, $X_2 = 200 - X_1$ \qquad\qquad (3)
- Substituting (3) for X_2 in (2) we have,

$$9X_1 + 6(200 - X_1) = 1566$$

which reduces to $X_1 = 122$

- So the optimal solution is,

$$X_1 = 122,\ X_2 = 200 - X_1 = 78$$
Total Profit = \$350*122 + \$300*78 = \$66,100

Enumerating The Corner Points

Note: This technique will not work if the solution is unbounded.

obj. value = $54,000
(0, 180)

obj. value = $64,000
(80, 120)

obj. value = $66,100
(122, 78)

obj. value = $0
(0, 0)

obj. value = $60,900
(174, 0)

Summary of Graphical Solution to LP Problems

1. Plot the boundary line of each constraint
2. Identify the feasible region
3. Locate the optimal solution by either:

   a. Plotting level curves

   b. Enumerating the extreme points

Understanding How Things Change

See file Fig2-8.xlsm

Special Conditions in LP Models

- A number of anomalies can occur in LP problems:
  - Alternate Optimal Solutions
  - Redundant Constraints
 - Unbounded Solutions
  - Infeasibility

# *Example of Alternate Optimal Solutions*

objective function level curve
$450X_1 + 300X_2 = 78300$

alternate optimal solutions

Example of a Redundant Constraint

boundary line of tubing constraint

boundary line of pump constraint

boundary line of labor constraint

Feasible Region

X_2

X_1

Example of an Unbounded Solution

Example of Infeasibility

$X_1 + X_2 = 200$

feasible region for second constraint

feasible region for first constraint
$X_1 + X_2 = 150$

End of Chapter 2

The Analytic Solver Platform software featured in this book is provided by Frontline Systems.

http://www.solver.com